

Republic of the Philippines Department of Environment and Natural Resources

Region IV- MIMAROPA

COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES OFFICE

Barangay III (Poblacion), Roxas, Palawan Contact No. 09171606578 / 09175028647; Email address: cenroroxaspalawan@denr.gov.ph

November 29, 2021

MEMORANDUM

The Regional Executive Director **FOR**

THRU The Provincial Environment and Natural Resources Officer

The Community Environment and Natural Resources Officer **FROM**

SUBJECT: MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF MS. AMELIA

> DELOS ANGELES ON THE CONFISCATION ORDER ISSUED BY THE REGIONAL EXECUTIVE

DIRECTOR, DATED OCTOBER 28, 2021.

Respectfully forwarding the attached Motion for Reconsideration of Ms. Amelia Delos Angeles on the Confiscation Order issued by the Regional Executive Director on October 28, 2021, against one (1) unit Mitsubishi Fuso Fighter Truck with Plate No. GCT916, one (1) unit Isuzu Forward Truck with Plate No. GHC451, and of One Hundred Ten (110) pieces of undocumented Mangium Logs with Total Volume of 11.24 Cubic Meters.

The Motion for Reconsideration was received by this Office last November 26, 2021, through personal service.

For information, evaluation, and record.

DENR-CENRO ROXAS

Republic of the Philippines

Department of Environment and Natural Resources COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES OFFICE

Roxas, Palawan



IN RE: CONFISCATION OF ONE (1) UNIT MITSUBISHI FUSO FIGHTER TRUCK WITH PLATE NO. GCT916, ONE (1) UNIT ISUZU FORWARD TRUCK WITH PLATE NO. GHC451, and OF ONE HUNDRED TEN (110) PIECES OF UNDOCUMENTED MANGIUM LOGS WITH TOTAL VOLUME OF 11.24 CUBIC METERS, APPREHENDED IN SITIO TALAKAIGAN, BRGY. SAN JOSE, ROXAS, PALAWAN

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION of ORDER DATED OCTOBER 28, 2021

HUMBLY COMES NOW, innocent third-party owner-movant, AMELIA DELOS ANGLES, unto the Honorable Office of Regional Executive Director and Office of DENR-CENRO, ROXAS, Palawan, most respectfully states that:

- 1. Up to the present, innocent third-party owner-movant AMELIA DELOS ANGELES have not yet officially received the Order dated October 28, 2021 of the Honorable Office of the Regional Executive Director (RED) of the DENR-MIMAROPA Region, but the said Order has come to the knowledge of the owner-movant AMELIA as it was made as an attachment as Annex "A" of a Manifestation dated November 10, 2021 filed by CENRO-Roxas, Palawan to Municipal Circuit Trial Court (Roxas and Cagayancillo), Roxas Palawan regarding case titled "People of the Philippines vs Rolly Olete, et. al., Criminal Case No. 3697 for Violation of Section 77 of PD 705, as amended."
- Under the paragraph 5 of Section 7 of Department Administrative Order (DAO) No. 97-32, an aggrieved party may file for Motion for Reconsideration within a non-extendible period of fifteen (15) calendar days from receipt of the Decision. Paragraph 5, Section 7 of DAO No. 97-32 provides as follows:

"MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - A party aggrieved by the decision may file only one (1) Motion for Reconsideration within a non-extendible period of fifteen (15) calendar days from receipt of the Decision, containing a concise statement of the grounds relied upon for the purpose. The Hearing Officer shall issue a ruling on such Motion within fifteen (15) days from receipt thereof, stating the grounds therefor. Unless a Notice of Appeal is filed by a Party with the Hearing Officer within a non-extendible period of fifteen (15) calendar days from receipt of a Notice of Appeal, the Hearing Officer shall transmit the complete records of the case to the Office of the Secretary for appropriate action." [Emphasis supplied in bold and underlined text]

- 3. As such, even though owner-movant Amelia has yet to officially received the Order dated October 28, 2021 of RED, but has already came to knowledge of the same, owner-movant Amelia is already timely filing this Motion for Reconsideration of the said order.
- 4. The said Order dated October 28, 2021 ordered the confiscation in favor of the government, among others, the one (1) unit Mitsubishi Fuso Fighter Truck with Plate No. GCT916, and one (1) unit Isuzu Forward Truck with Plate No. GHC451, which are all lawfully owned by the innocent third-party owner-movant AMELIA. With all due respect to the Honorable Office of RED, and of CENRO-Roxas, Palawan, not only is the said Confiscation

Order null and void for failure to strictly comply with the provisions Section 7 of DAO No. 97-32, but worst, the said Confiscation Order is unconstitutional for violation of Bill of Rights against unlawful searches and seizures, and of no taking of private property without due process of law.

5. First and foremost, with due respect, the summary administrative procedure as outlined in Section 7 of DAO No. 97-32 were not properly observed by DENR-CENRO, Roxas, Palawan. Paragraph 2, Section 7 was not strictly observed by the Hearing Officer at the Confiscation hearing, Paragraph 2 is herein quoted as follows:

"HEARING - The DENR Officer who issued the SEIZURE ORDER shall preside as the Hearing Officer at Confiscation hearings, which shall be recorded and of summary nature, during which all interested parties shall be heard by themselves and/or through counsel of choice. Ample opportunity to obtain the services of counsel shall, in all cases, be provided. A complete set of the documents supporting the apprehension and seizure as hereinabove outlined shall be provided to the interested parties at their expense, and who shall be afforded the opportunity to present controverting evidence. Although not strictly bound by the technical rules on evidence and procedures, applicable Rules of Court shall have suppletory application in these proceedings to ensure justice and equity at all times. In lieu of adducing testimonial evidence, any Party may elect to submit a Memorandum, attaching Affidavits and any other supporting documents thereto, with a request that the issues be decided on the basis thereof." [Emphasis supplied in bold and underlined text]

- 6. In this case, owner-movant Amelia is an interested party in this case, but was not afforded her substantial and constitutional right to be heard during the hearing on July 5, 2019. Although, owner-movant was present during the said hearing, she was not given time to speak and defend her ownership over the subject trucks. She was not given ample opportunity to obtain services of counsel. She was also denied the complete set of documents supporting the apprehension and seizure, and likewise, denied to have a copy of the minutes during the hearing, and all other direct documents pertaining to the administrative hearing. More importantly, she was not given any chance or opportunity to present controverting evidence.
- 7. Also, the RED only considered what Mr. Rolly Olete had said during the hearing that the logs were sold to Mr. Leo Delos Angeles, without giving opportunity to them to refute the same. Moreover, without giving herein owner-movant also the chance to prove her ownership of the trucks and her innocence regarding the alleged illegal logs. The Hearing Officer did not even bother to give herein owner-movant and/or Mr. Delos Angeles any chance to disprove the accusations against them that they were the ones buying the logs. And contrary to the submitted Sinumpaang Salaysay of Rolly Olete that states that Mr. Delos Angeles had no participation when the trucks were confiscated.
- 8. Because of the improper procedure during the hearing, owner-movant Amelia was denied the chance to controvert and overcome the disputable presumptions as also provided in DAO 97-32. She was not given the chance as the lawful owner of the conveyances to prove by preponderance of evidence that she had no knowledge and did not willingly participated in providing her trucks for any illegal purpose. Owner-movant Amelia is herein vehemently denying the she has knowledge and/or she had willingly participated in the doing any illegal acts relating to the use of her trucks. First, owner-movant Amelia

was not even present there during the apprehension. Second, there is no proof of any official receipt that names owner-movant Amelia or her husband, Mr. Delos Angeles, that they bought the subject logs. Moreover, owner-movant Amelia herein provides the following proof of her ownership over the trucks:

- a. Deed of sale of each truck; and
- OR No.3321292 /CR No.19700155 of Mitsubishi Fuso Fighter Truck with Plate No. GCT916, and OR No.75717303/CR No.61500036 of Isuzu Forward Truck with Plate No. GHC451.
- 9. These controverting evidences of owner-movant Amelia, if were given chance to be presented by her during the hearing, together with the submitted Sinumpaang Salaysay of Rolly Olete, would have sufficiently established by preponderance of evidence and overthrown the said disputable presumption.
- 10. Another transgression of the DAO 97-32 is the non-observance as well of Paragraph 4, Section 7 of the same administrative order. Paragraph 4, Section 7 provides as follow:

"DECISION — The <u>Decision shall be rendered by the RED upon recommendation of Hearing Officer</u>. <u>Substantial evidence</u> shall suffice to sustain an administrative Decision adverse to interested Party(ies), <u>failing which</u>, a <u>ruling shall</u> <u>be issued dismissing the case</u>, and the controversy deemed closed and <u>ordering that the seized item(s)</u> <u>be returned forthwith</u>. When the evidence so warrants, a ruling shall be issued declaring the seized items to be confiscated in favor of the Government, together with recommendations for further prosecution, if any. In the absence of compelling reasons, which shall in all cases be stated on the record, confiscation proceedings shall be terminated within fifteen (15) regular business days from commencement thereof. A transcript of stenographic notes or minutes taken at these proceedings shall form part of the permanent records of the case together with the <u>Decision issued thereon citing the evidence adduced</u> and <u>reasons supporting the ruling</u>. The Decision shall become final and executory upon the lapse of fifteen (15) regular business days unless a Motion for Reconsideration is filed as provided below."

- 11. However, owner-movant Amelia was not notified of any recommendation of the Hearing Officer, and worst, had been denied access to the records of the case. Moreover, in this case, there has been no actual Decision or ruling to speak of here, but what was issued by RED is mere order only, and thus contrary to the above-quoted paragraph of Section 7 of DAO 97-32, unless the said questionable Order dated October 28, 2021 be treated as the Decision or ruling. Even if the order be treated as Decision, still the said order cannot stand as against grave violations of constitutional rights to be heard, rights against unlawful searches and seizures, and of taking of private property without due process of law.
- 12. As such, all of these transgressions of the above-quoted provision of DAO 97-32 makes all the procedure therein null and void, and consequently, the confiscation order issued by RED is likewise null and void.
- 13. Clearly, in this case, there have transgressions of the DAO 97-32, and these rights of owner-movant Amelia have been denied during the hearing. As such, the hearing and the entire proceedings therein being null and void, and hence, a decision, or in this case, an order derived from the said proceedings, necessarily is likewise null and void.

- 14. Interestingly, the questioned order of the RED is dated October 28, 2021, while the hearing was conducted way back July 5, 2019. Owner-movant Amelia likewise have no knowledge if there is really, indeed, a recommendation that was filed by the Hearing Officer. Moreover, this questioned order was issued more than a month later after the Honorable MCTC of Roxas, Palawan have already ruled denying the Motion for Reconsideration of the public prosecutor, and finding that the illegally seized logs and trucks cannot be used in any case, whether administrative or criminal, for being in violation of the Constitution, and after, also the granting of the Honorable MCTC of Roxas, Palawan of the Motion to Release Impounded Vehicles filed by owner-movant Amelia. As such, this order can be said to be just a mere after-thought of the concerned agency in order to somehow rectify their unlawful withholding of the custody of the trucks owned by the innocent third-party owner-movant Amelia.
- 15. In the case of MOMONGAN vs OMIPON, A.M. No. MTJ-93-874 March 14, 1995, the Supreme Court ruled as follows:

"We find respondent Judge's order to release the truck owned and driven by Mr. Dionisio Golpe legally justifiable, hence, he is not subject to any disciplinary sanction.

According to the Revised Penal Code, Art. 45, first paragraph: "[E]very penalty imposed for the commission of a felony shall carry with it the forfeiture of the proceeds of the crime and the instrument or tools with which it was committed." However, this cannot be done if such proceeds and instruments or tools "be the property of a third person not liable for offense." In this case, the truck, though used to transport the illegally cut lumber, cannot be confiscated and forfeited in the event accused therein be convicted because the truck owner/driver, Mr. Dionisio Golpe was not indicted. Hence, there was no justification for respondent Judge not to release the truck." [Emphasis supplied in bold and underlined text]

16. In the recent case entitled "EASTERN ISLAND SHIPPING LINES CORPORATION VS. HON. LELU CONTRERAS IN HER CAPACITY AS THE PRES. JUDGE OF RTC, BR. 42 OF VIRAC, CATANDUANES, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES - PROVINCIAL ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES OFFICE (DENR-PENRO) OF VIRAC, CATANDUANES, CA-G.R. SP No. 157075, February 19, 2020" rendered by Thirteenth (13th) Division of the Court of Appeals, the Appellate Court ruled that against confiscation and forfeiture of a truck despite the fact that the third-party owner thereof had neither knowledge, consent nor participation in the commission of the crime. The Decision provides as follows:

"Here, We agree with the petitioner that its right to due process was violated when the court a quo ordered the confiscation and forfeiture of its truck despite the fact that it had neither knowledge, consent nor participation in the commission of the crime. Considering also that Eastern Island (or its duly-authorized representatives) was not impleaded as party in the criminal case, We are of the opinion that the court a quo could not have validly ordered the confiscation and forfeiture of its truck since it will run counter to the constitutional right of Eastern Island to due process of law. Thus, the assailed Order, which deprived the petitioner of its truck, is void ab initio for having been issued without due process of law."

- 17. More importantly, substantively, the seized logs in this case are found and declared to be Acacia Mangium which are not native to the Philippines. In fact, these are from cultivated trees inside a private property. As such, it cannot be said to be forest product as defined under Presidential Decree No. 705, and herein quoted as follows:
 - "(a) Forest product means timber, pulpwood, firewood, bark, tree top, resin, gum, wood, oil, honey, beeswax, nipa, rattan, or other forest growth such as grass, shrub, and flowering plant, the associated water, fish, game, scenic, historical, recreational and geologic resources in forest lands." [Emphasis supplied in bold and underlined text
- 18. Thus, since it is not a forest product, as a cultivated tree in private property is not included in the enumeration provided in the definition of the same. As such, there should have not been any apprehension made by the enforcers of DENR-CENRO primarily because there is no violation of PD 705 to speak of, or if there is any violation, it should have only charged taxes and fees rather than confiscating or seizing the logs including the trucks.
- 19. Thus, innocent third-party owner Amelia herein respectfully moves for reconsideration of the Confiscation Order dated October 28, 2021 issued by the Honorable Regional Executive Director. Owner-movant Amelia likewise prays the said order be recalled and reversed, and order the release of the subject trucks to the herein innocent third-party owner-movant AMELIA DELOS ANGELES.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is respectfully prayed that the Confiscation Order dated October 28, 2021 be reconsidered, reversed and recalled by the Honorable Regional Executive Director of DENR-MIMAROPA, and order the release of the subject trucks in favor of the herein innocent third-party owner-movant AMELIA DELOS ANGELES. Other just and equitable remedies are likewise prayed for.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, November 25, 2021, Roxas, Palawan.

AMELIA DELOS ANGELES Innocent Third-Party Owner-Movant

Roxas, Palawan

COPY FURNISHED:

DENR-CENRO, Roxas, Palawan

Brgy. III, Roxas, Palawan

26-2021, 11:05 AM

DENR-PENRO -Province of Palawan

REGIONAL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-MIMAROPA 1515 L&S Bldg., Roxas Boulevard, Ermita, Manila



SALAYSAY AT PAGPAPATUNAY

Ako, **ROLLY A. OLETE**, sapat ang gulang, Filipino, may-asawa, at naninirahan sa Barangay San Jose, Roxas, Palawan, pagkatapos makapanumpa ng sang-ayon sa ipinag-uutos ng batas ay nagpapatunay at nagpapatibay na:

- Na ako Rolly A. Olete, may-ari ng mga kahoy na aking pinakuha kay Joart Sarmiento driver at siya ring scaler ni Leo Delos Angeles may ari ng Foreward at Fighter Truck na pansamantalang na kumpiska ng Department of Environment of natural Resources (DENR), Roxas, Palawan;
- Na aking pinatutuo na ako ang nakiusap kay Joart Sarmiento, na hakutin na ang aking mga kahoy sa Sitio Talakaigan, Barangay San Jose, Roxas, Palawan, sa kadahilanan na kapagtuloytuloy na ang ulan ay di na namin ito mailabas dahil sa maputik na ang lugar,
- Na wlang kinalaman si Leo Delos Angeles sa pagkumpiska ng kanyang sasakyan dahil kasalukuyang nasa Puerto Princisa City, siya ng mga panahong iyon;
- 4. Na ang salaysay kong ito ay aking isinagawa bilang pagpapatotoo at pagpapatibay sa lahat ng nabanggit na sa taas nito.

SA KATUNAYAN NG LAHAT NG ITO, ako ay lumagda sa ibaba nito, ngayong ika-20th ng June 2019, dito sa Roxas, Palawan.

ROLLY A. OLETE

Nagsalaysay VIN. 5318-0109A-D0771RA010000-2 Comelec, Roxas, Palawan

SINUMPAAN AT NILAGDAAN sa harap ko ngayong ika 20th ng buwan ng June 2019, sa Roxas, Palawan.

Page No. | IO|
Book No. xxxVII

Series of 2019

ATTY. ORLANDO A. BA-ALAN

Until December 31, 2020 Bal Roll No. 56352 IBP No. 051806/10-05-18/Pal

PTR No. 103\$684/12-07-18/Pal MCLE Compliance No. V-0022326/04-14-2019

DEED OF ABSOLUTE SALE OF MOTOR VEHICLE

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

I, WILMA D. NALAUNAN, of legal age, married, Filipino, and a resident of Barangay Brgy. Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan, hereinafter known as the VENDOR, is the lawful owner of a certain vehicle, and more particularly described as follows:

Make/Body Type

: Mitsubishi/Aluminium High Side

Series

: Fighter

Engine No.

: 6D16549073

Chassis No.

: FK416K-500454

CR

: 19700155

OR

: 3321292

THAT FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION of the amount of ONE HUNDERD THOUSAND (Php100,000.00) PESOS, Philippine Currency, to me in hand paid to my full satisfaction and acknowledged to have received from AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES, of legal age, married, Filipino, and a resident of Bgy. Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan, hereinafter known as the VENDEE, by virtue of these present, do hereby SELL, TRANSFER and CONVEY unto the said vendee, his heirs and assigns, and successors in interest, the above describe vehicle.

That I further declare that the said vehicle is free from all liens and/ or encumbrances, and shall defend its ownership from any/all claim/s whatsoever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands this 29th day of <u>December 2018</u> at Roxas, Palawan, Philippines.

WILMA D. NALAUNAN

dacely AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES

Vendor

VIN: 5318-0036A-G1568WDN20000

Vendee PRC. 0692368

Comelec, Roxas, Palawan

Valid Until. 10/04/2020

Witnesses:

1. CRISTHOPHER T. PADRONES

2. SAGRADON. BELTRAN JR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Republic of the Philippines]

BEFORE ME, a notary public for the City of Puerto Princesa and the Province of Palawan, this 29th day of December 2018 at Roxas, Palawan, Philippines, personallycame and appeared WILMA D. NALAUNAN and AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES, known to me to me known to be the same person who executed the foregoing instrument which they acknowledged to me that the same is their true and voluntary act and deed.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL on the date and place above written.

Doc. No. 186 Page No. 23

Book No. XXXV

ATTY. ORLANDO A. BA-ALAN

Notary Public Until December 2018 Bar Koll No. 56352

IBP No. 1028737/12-30-17/Pal PTR No. 4974376/01-04-18/Pal



Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION LAND TRANSPORTATION OFFICE East Avenue. Quezon City Field Office:

OFFICIAL RECEIPT 1173138190 DATE: DE COMPONIDADE

CFO0E0E0 FROM Labl Jame First name, Mi)

ALDRESS (No., Street, City, Municipality, Province, Zip Code) and Pacavign

PAYMENT DETAILS	BREAKDOWN OF PAYMENT
PRIVATE Truck/TK/DIESEL/White/	Surcharge 2 200 an
File Md - 1798600000033437	Comp Fee Years
Plate No.: CTG916	Mothing Follows:
2/#	of the second
of visited net are companied by CR and CP	C Witor hank
	***3,379.06
Thirtie Thousand These Hundred Ser	
TOTAL AMOUNT PA	
THE SUM OF (in pesos)	OD CASH
MODE OF PAYMENT CRIZ	CBC astillo
	The section of the se
CHIEF OF OFFICE Electronic Signature/Date	CASHIER Sighetare/Date

DE CERTIFICATE OF R	LAND Field Offi	TRANSPO TRANSPO East Avenue ce Subic E	the Philippines RTATION & COMMUNI RTATION OFFICE a. Quezon City Cay Metro Auth Ext. Ofc. 00155 DATE	CATIONS	CTO Form No. 3
Mic Print Section	PLATE NO		ENGINE NO	CHESSES NO	
0386 0000	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	CTG9	16 6D165490	73	FK416K-500454
THE STATE OF THE S	PISTONDISP	LACEMENT	NO OF CYLINDERS	FUEL	FR*-HIN-BUUGDA
	NA		10	6	DIESEL
ansig	SERIES		BODY TYPE	BODY NO.	YEAR MODEL
ACISE IN	shi - Fuso	FIGHTE		QE	1991
STORES WELL			SHIPPING WT	NET CAPACITY	Y j
	The state of the section of the sect	40			3500
LORENZO N. LI			TELEPHONE NO CONTACT DET	ALS	
TOWNSHIP ADDRESS IN	O Street City/Municipalit	Province) ERTO PRINCES	A CITY (Capital) PALAWAN F		
ETALLOFFIRST REGIST	RATION O.R. No		ORDATE	000-000-	000-000
		3321292	04/09/200		
This is to certify that the subhorized LTO Of the subhorized or after	THURSD. TRUDGETTY 5081	scribed herein is ed and accomes	duly registered. This certifical	1/41 ID	y when signed Any unautho-
REGISTRANT	S SIGNATURE	BV-	ANNELI'R. L CHIÉF OF OFF Signature De	PICE	

DEED OF ABSOLUTE SALE OF MOTOR VEHICLE

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

I, JAIME J. CAIDET, of legal age, married, Filipino, a resident of Bgy. 4, Roxas, Palawan, a true and lawful owner of a certain Isuzu Forward Dropside Truck, having acquired the same from Enrique S. Arroyo, per Deed of Absolute Sale of Truck, executed on 26 July 2013, before Atty. Raymund U. Acosta, notary public, and noted in his notarial register as Doc. No. 80; Page No. 17; Book No. 158; Series of 2013, and more particularly described as follows:

> ENGINE NO CHASSIS NO.

: 6BGI-666707

MAKE/TYPE

: FRR12L-3490163 : ISUZU/FORWARD DROPSIDE TRUCK

CR No OR No.

: 61500036

Year Model

: 75717303 1997

Plate No.

: GHC-451

THAT FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION of the amount of FIFTY THOUSAND (Php50,000.00) PESOS, Philippine Currency, to me in hand paid to me in full satisfaction and acknowledged to have received from the vendee AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES, of legal age, married, Filipino, and a resident of Abaroan, Roxas, Palawan, hereinafter known as the VENDEE, by virtue of these present, do hereby SELL, TRANSFER and CONVEY unto the said vendee, her heirs and assigns, and successors in interest, the above describe vehicle.

That I further declare that the said vehicle is free from all liens and/ or encumbrances, and shall defend its ownership from any/ all claim/s whatsoever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands this 2nd day of May, 2016 at Roxas, Palawan, Philippines.

JAIME J. CAIDET

Driver Lic. No. J01-84-013782 Valid Until 2018-12-25

AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES

TIN:920-632-076

Witnesses:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Republic of the Philippines)

BEFORE ME, a notary public for the City of Puerto Princesa and the Province of Palawan, this 2nd day of May, 2016 at Roxas, Palawan, Philippines, personally came and appeared JAIME J. CAIDET & AMELIA V. DELOS ANGELES, known to me to me known to be the same person who executed the foregoing instrument which they acknowledged to me that the same is their true and voluntary act and deed.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL on the date and place above written.

Doc No. |30

Page No. 27 Book No. XX

Series of 2016

DEED OF ABSOLUTE SALE OF TRUCK

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That I, ENRIQUE S, ARROYO JR., of legal age, married, Filipino, and resident of Bo Tinitian, Roxas, Palawan FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION of the sum of FIFTY THOUSAN (Php. 50,000.00) Philippine Currency, to me in hand paid to my full satisfaction by JAIME CAIDET, of legal age, married to Jerlyn Caidet, Filipino and resident Bgy. IV, Roxas, Palawi DO HEREBY SELL, TRANSFER, CEDE, and CONVEY unto the said JAIME J. CAIDET heirs assigns of the said truck described as follows, to wit:

MAKE

BODY TYPE

FORWARD DROPSIDE TRUCK

ENGINE/MOTOR NO. SERIAL/CHASSIS NO. 6BGI-666707

FRR12L-3490163

YEAR MODEL

1997

PLATE NO.

GHC-451

That I do hereby warrant the peaceful possession and ownership of the above-describ motor vehicle by JAIME J. CAIDET, his heirs assigns full title and ownership over the above described truck free from all liens and encumbrances in favor of any person or entity:

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of July 2013 Roxas, Palawan.

ENRIQUE S. ARROYO JR

Vendor

Vendee

WITNESSES:

ARIANE/JANE F. LABRADOR

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES PROVINCE OF PALAWAN

MUNICIPALITY OF ROXAS

) S.S

BEFORE ME, this 26th day of July 2013, in the Municipality of Roxas. Palaw personally appeared ENRIQUE C. ARROYO JR. and JAIME J. CAIDET with their Driv License No. D11-92-018815 and TIN: 904-0258-121 issued by Bureau of Internal Rever known to me to be the same person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that the same is his free act and deed.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 26th day of July 2013.

Doc. No.

Page No. Book No.

Series of 2013.

ATTY. RAYMUND U. ACOSTA

Notary Public

Until December 31 2013

PTR No. 3147089 - 12-06-12 PPC IBP No. 895467 - 12-06-12 PPC

TIN No.922-397-393

Roll No. 48821

Commission No. 2012-01

Republic of the Philippines

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION & COMMUNICATIONS LAND TRANSPORTATION OFFICE

East Avenue, Quezon City

Field Office Code:

OFFICIAL RECEIPT

LTO Form No. 28

RECEIVED FROM (Last name, First name, MI)

ROYO, ENRIQUE JA F

ADDRESS (No., Street, City, Municipality, Province, Zip Code)

PAYMENT DETAILS BREAKDOWN OF PAYMENT Cost of Stokers 810450031420150282 - 01 Legal Research F Frated Nathi2015 PRIVATE TOURSTHEDIESELIGISED Fre No. 0716000007135849 Plate No.: GHC451 GLENDAP HEREDEP sin valle if not accompanied by STICKER MAN AS TOTAL AMOUNT PAID THE SUM OF (in pesos) Hundred Fifty And 05 100 Peace On MODE OF PAYMENT CHIEF OF OFFICE Electronic Signature/Date



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION & COMMUNICATIONS LAND TRANSPORTATION OFFICE East Avenue, Quezon City DISTRICT OFFICE Silay ext. off.



0163	O DATE SERIES OTWO	ROLNO	CLASSIFICATION TAX EXEMPT TYPE OF BODY D SI DE T	AUTHORIZED A ORIGINAL BOT UNDER BOND MOTOR NUMBE	0642 . DECISION NO. OTHERS (Specify)		
0163	O. DATE SERIES TOTAL P	rd Dr	TAX EXEMPT	UNDER F	OTHERS (Specify)		
0163	SERIES OTWO	rd Dr	TAX EXEMPT	BOND			
0163	MULLEL TOTAL P	rd Dro	TYPE OF BODY	MOTOR NUMBE	B		
0163	MULLEL TOTAL P			ACK ODGI	BODY MOTOR NUMBER Truck 6831-666707		
(Specify)	> / (ca cin)	PISTON DISP	NO. OF CYL	GROSSWT 7500kg	NET CAPACITY		
sl.	TOTAL PASS, SEAT	TING (Pass.)	STANDING (Pass.)		TIRE SIZE		
ME (Family Nar	ne, First Name, Midd	dle Name)	608.7% FOLIS	CC NO	DATE		
COMPLETE ADDRESS (No. Street, City/Municipality, Province)				BIR CP NO.	BOC CP NO.		
ACQUIRED FROM NORTH. TIN NO.					DATE		
AGENCY CODE	NO ,	OFFICIAL PE	CEIPT NO AMOU	INT 10.00 7-	DATE		
tificate is VALI	only when signe	d by	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	the same of the sa			
f of payment.	Any unauthorized	pro TI	rest ()	spent			
MANA	34/	BY:	LEDA	Stant Secretary	4/18		
	o. Street ChyMI	GENCY CODE NO the motor vehicle described here illicate is VALID only when signed property sealed and accompanies.	in Street City/Municipality Province) RTG. GENCY CODE NO OFFICIAL RE The molor vehicle described herein is lificate is VALID only when signed by properly sealed and accompanied by of payment. Any unauthorized erawill invalidate this document. BY:	TIN NO GENCY CODE NO OFFICIAL RECEIPT NO AMOUNT Properly sealed and accompanied by of payment. Any unauthorized erawitt invalidate this document. BY: BY: BY: BY: BY: BY: BY: BY	Description of the motor vehicle described herein is lificate is VALID only when signed by properly sealed and accompanied by of payment. Any unauthorized erawill invalidate this document. BIR CP NO. TIN NO. OFFICIAL RECEIPT NO AMOUNT P 270.00 7- OWNER'S SIGNATULE OF P 270.00 7- OWNER'S SIGNATULE OF P 270.00 7- P 270.00 7- OWNER'S SIGNATULE OF P 270.00 7- OWNER'S SIGNAT		