## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT REPORT CASA MIRA TOWERS PALAWAN COMMENTS ON THE EIS REPORT DURING THE 1<sup>ST</sup> EISRC MEETING

ENGR. JOSE REYNATO M. MORENTE (CHAIRPERSON)		RESPONSE	Page no. in
Module/Findings	Specific Description	Preparer/ Proponent	the Revised EIS
	The following were not included as components:	Please see section 1.13.2.1	1-25 to 1-26
	<ul><li>i. During construction</li><li>1. Location and dimension of the laydownareas (for</li></ul>	Please see section 4.1.1.2.	4-2
	<ol> <li>2. Location of the stockpile for construction spoils</li> <li>3. Waste Management Facilities – forexample,</li> </ol>	Please see section 1.13.3.3	1-33
Project Description	portalets, MRFs, etc		
	ii. During operation	Please see section 1.13.3.3	1-27 to 1-40
	1. Waste management facilities – STP, MRF, rainwater collection tanks, etc.	Please see section 1.13.3.3	
	<ol> <li>Generator sets, as stand-by or backupunits</li> <li>Muster points during emergency drillsand incidents (natural events, etc.</li> </ol>	Please see section 3.3.	3-4 to 3-5
	iii. Please quantity the water and electricity requirements during construction and operation	Please see relevant attachments	Annex 6.21 and 6.22.
	iv. The proposed STP will not be able to meetthe PO4 and NH3-N parameters. Chemical precipitation and membrane filtration mustcomply with DAO 2016-008/DAO 2021- 19.		Page 1- 39

	Please consolidate Tables 2-1 to 2-3.	No tables 2.1 to 2.3. tables 2.1 and 2.2 are completely different and both are essential to the discussions	
Baseline Data	There is no groundwater sampling.		2-23 to 2-26
	Please cite source of Figure 2-30.	Figure 2-39	2-46 to 2-47
	What is the relevance of some discussion under Air and its potential effect to the project? If not relevant, please delete it.		
	Please use data generated by government agencies, DOST-PAGASA.	Done	
	Table 2-6 – please use storm classification issued by DOST-PAGASA (as discussed in Section 2.3.1.8)	Done	2-40 to-2-44
	Figure 2-35 – please use data from a government agency (DOST-PAGASA)	All data is now from PAG -ASA.	2-38 to 2-47
Environmental Risk Assessment	There should be a separate discussion of the occupational hazards and risks during construction, operation, and demobilization.	Done., see 3.2.3.1 to 3.2.3.3	1-26
	Please state the source of water to be used during construction and operation.	Please see 1.13.3.1	1-26 to 1- 28
	Please present the water balance during the operation phase	Please see discussions under 1.6.3.1	1-27
Environmental Management Plan	On Noise Generation – Please list the equipment to be used during construction and its noise level at 10 meters distance. An engineering calculation to estimate noise at the identified receptors must be presented.	Noise meter	
	The attendance sheets and minutes of the public participation must be in the Annexes.	Done	Annex 6.6
Others	Provide the signed and notarized Sworn Accountability Statement of the Proponent	Done	Annex 6.13 to 6- 15
MARIA	and Preparers. LOURDES Q. MORENO, PH.D.	RESPONSE Preparer/	Page no. in the Revised EIS

		Proponent	
Module/Findings	Specific Description		
Format	The format in the screening form was not followed. No discussion on Terrestrial assessment, People module.	Done	2-22 to 2-23 2-1 to 2-15
Perception Survey	Methodology for the Perception survey was not clear. What is the basis for the selection of 350 participants? The questions regarding the project were not sufficient. There is no analysis of data. The no answer to the survey questionnaire ranges from 275-310.	Done	2-1 to 2-15
Impact Assessment	Impact assessment incomplete What will be the impact once the population will increase due to the project?	This has been completed.	2-1 to 2-15
Others	Figure 1-4 Points of the lot for Casa Mira (Page 1-3) shows that the area is still vegetated but on page 1-22, it was described as already a paved area.	Done	1-2 to 1-4
ENGR. I	DAN GOODWIN S. BORJA	RESPONSE	Page no. in the
Module/Findings	Specific Description	Preparer/ Proponent	Revised EIS
1.2.1 (1-4) Direct Impact Area for Air Quality	Please provide basis of conclusion of 1-1.5 km radius direct impact on the effect of dust emissions (methodology and parameters used in air modelling, incl. software used)	Done	1-4
1.6.3.1 (1-26) Power and Water Supply	Are the project's power and water requirements especially during operation phase properly coordinated with the local power and water utilities to integrate in their demand forecast?	Please see relevant attachments	Annex 21 and 22
1.6.3.3 (1-30) Waste Management and Safety/ Emergency Facilities	Just for clarification, each building will have its own Sequencing Batch Reactor. So, there will be 7 SBRs and 7 final outfalls?	Already clarified, only one	
2.2.8 (2-28) Water Quality	Column 4 in Table 2-2 are effluent standards. Since the samples are taken from water bodies	Already changed	2-36

	near the site, WQGV should be used instead of		
	effluent standards in assessing the baseline		
	conditions.		
2.3.2.2.1 (2-52) Ambient	Please provide the siting criteria used as basis	Done	2-34 to 2-37
Air QualityMonitoring	of determining the sampling stations		2-58 to 2-59
	EIA SECTION	RESPONSE	Page no. in the
<b>Module/Findings</b>	Specific Description	<b>Preparer/ Proponent</b>	<b>Revised EIS</b>
	The components in the EIS are not consistent	Done	1-17 to 1-23
SEP Clearance	with the components indicated in the SEP		
	Clearance.		
	The geographical coordinates in the EIS are	See table 1.1.	1-3
Project Boundaries	not consistent with the coordinates in the SEP		
	Clearance.		
Construction phases	Discuss the construction table of the 3 phases.	See Section 1.10	1-8 to 1-9
Project Description	Include the cistern tanks and holding tanks in	Please see wastewater design	1-33 to 1-40
	the project components.		
C	ITY GOVERNMENT	RESPONSE	Page no. in the
<b>Module/Findings</b>	Specific Description	<b>Preparer/ Proponent</b>	<b>Revised EIS</b>
Traffic	No study/assessment on traffic that will be	Done	4-9 to 4-11
	caused by the project.		
Drainage System	What is the plan for the drainage system of the project?	Done	1-29 to 1-40
Project Elevation	Impact of the project's elevation level to the		
5	surrounding community.		
	BMB	RESPONSE	Page no. in the
Module/Findings	Specific Description	Preparer/ Proponent	<b>Revised EIS</b>
	Include a discussion on the project's proximity	Done	4-5
Impost on Protostad Aroos	to the Protected Areas and RAMSAR Sites and		
Impact on Protected Areas	its possible impacts in accordance with DMO		
Impact on Protected Areas			
-	its possible impacts in accordance with DMO 2023-01 Include the monitoring of wastewater in the	Done	
Impact on Protected Areas Monitoring	its possible impacts in accordance with DMO 2023-01	Done	4-1 to
-	its possible impacts in accordance with DMO 2023-01 Include the monitoring of wastewater in the	Done	4-1 to

ENGR. PABLITO M. ESTORQUE, JR.		RESPONSE	Page no. in the
Module/Findings	Specific Description	Preparer/ Proponent	<b>Revised EIS</b>
	Management of solid waste and hazardous	Done	1-27
Solid and Hazardous Waste	wastes during construction and operation		
Management	phase.		