

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES KAGAWARAN NG KAPALIGIRAN AT LIKAS NA YAMAN PENRO MARINDUQUE



July 26, 2024

MEMORANDUM

FOR : The OIC, R

:

The OIC, Regional Executive Director

DENR, MIMAROPA Region

THRU:

The OIC, Assistant Regional Director

for Technical Services

ATTENTION

The Chief, Conservation Development Division

FROM

The OIC, Provincial Environment and Natural

Resources Officer

SUBJECT

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

ASSESSMENT (MEA) OF MARINDUQUE WILDLFE

SANCTUARY (MWS) FOR CY 2024.

Respectfully forwarded is the Memorandum Report of Protected Area Management Office (PAMO) relative to the result of Management Effectiveness Assessment (MEA) of Marinduque Wildlife Sanctuary (MWS) for CY 2024. The MEA was conducted during 2nd PAMB Meeting on May 30, 2024 at PENRO Conference Hall, DENR PENRO, Boac which will be presented to PAMB on the 3rd Quarter PAMB Meeting to be held on August 9, 2024 at A&A Beach Resort/Restaurant and Catering Services, Brgy. Laylay, Boac, Marinduque.

For information, evaluation and record.

IMELDA MIDIAZ



DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES KAGAWARAN NG KAPALIGIRAN AT LIKAS NA YAMAN PENRO MARINDUOUE



July 25, 2024

MEMORANDUM

FOR

: The OIC, PENR Officer

FROM

The SVEMS/PASu - MWS

SUBJECT

SUBMISSION OF MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

ASSESSMENT (MEA) REPORT OF MARINDUQUE

WILDLIFE SANCTUARY (MWS) FOR CY 2024.

This has reference with our target under Management Effectiveness Assessment (MEA) of Marinduque Wildlife Sanctuary (MWS) for CY 2024.

Please be informed that MWS was started as protected area since August 14, 2004 per Presidential Proclamation 696 pursuant to Republic Act 7586 or NIPAS Act of 1992 with an area of 8,872.76. Thenafter, it was included in the 94 legislated protected areas declared pursuant to RA 11038 or ENIPAS Act of 2018 having a total area of 9,758.71 hectares.

The Management Effectiveness Assessment (MEA) shall be conducted during the PAMB Meeting every 3 years. The assessment aimed how well a protected area is being managed – primarily the extent to which it is protecting values and achieving goals and objectives" (Hockings et al. 2006).

- It includes consideration of:
- √ Design issues;
- ✓ Adequacy and appropriateness of management systems and processes; and
- ✓ Delivery of protected area objectives including conservation of value.

BMB Technical Bulletin No. 2018-05 Adopting the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) for Assessing and Monitoring Management Effectiveness of PAs.

Hereunder are the objectives of MEA:

- To determine/track progress on the management effectiveness of protected areas over time;
- 2. To identify weaknesses and areas for improvement in the management of protected areas; and
- 3. To identify and agree on the adaptive strategies/activities to improve management effectiveness of protected areas.

BMB Technical Bulletin No. 2018-05 Adopting the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) for Assessing and Monitoring Management Effectiveness of PAs.

Limitations

£

- ► It should not be regarded as an independent assessment, or as the sole basis for adaptive management.
- ▶ It was not designed as a way of comparing management between different sites.
- ► Too limited to allow a detailed evaluation of outcomes and is really aimed at providing a quick overview of the management steps identified in the WCPA Framework up to and including outputs.

The MEA was conducted during the Second PAMB Meeting of MWS held on May 30, 2024 at PENRO Conference Room, DENR-PENRO, Boac, Marinduque. Said meeting was presided by Hon. Joseph J. Siena in behalf of RED Felix S. Mirasol, Jr. and upon recommendations of the majority of the members. There was no quorum during the meeting as reported by the Secretariat, only 14 members present out of the 37 officially registered members of PAMB. The meeting was proceeded and tackled only the two agenda such as: PAMO Accomplishment Report for the 1st Quarter of CY 2024 and the Management Effectiveness Assessment (MEA). It was discussed by PASu Emeterio M. Recto of Marinduque Wildlife Sanctuary (MWS) with the assistance from the representative of Marinduque State College (MSC). It was participated by fourteen (16) members via face to face. Due to lack of quorum on the said meeting, the additional six (6) selected regular members were personally coordinated by PAMO Staff to complete the members that will response to the interview and questionnaire in the MEA assessment forms.

At the start of the meeting, the undersigned PASu presented the mechanics of MEA through power point presentation. Thenafter, he further explained to the attendees the processes on how to fill-up the MEA forms. The said activity was facilitated with the assistance of PAMO staff. Members who are attended face to face were properly guided. Likewise, other additional members required to complete the quorum was personally guided in their respective offices by PAMO Staff in answering the questionnaires who was provided with forms earlier.

The result of MEA was analyzed/tallied by PAMO Staff. The Final METT Rating is 60.30%.

For the purpose of analysis, the issues and concerns shall be grouped according to the elements of evaluation as provided for in the METT Questionnaire. Scores and percentages will be taken per issue and per element. Issues that are appropriate will be stricken out of the Questionnaire, however, they shall be noted.

Furthermore, the analysis of said activity will be presented on the 3rd PAMB Meeting held on August 9, 2024 to be held at A&A Resort/Restaurant and Catering Services, Brgy. Laylay, Boac, Marinduque. (Please find attached Computation of METT Scores and pictures taken during the 2nd PAMB meeting.)

For information, record and further instruction.

LL, FW EMETERIO M. RECTO

Total Additional Score/Responden Percentage Question No. Score Element Points t Score 0 0 0 Legal Status 1 1 0 0 2 0 0 3 66 100 **Total Context** 66 100 0

Element	Question No.	Score	Total Score	Percentage	Additional Points
		0	0	0	
	2	1	2	0.836820084	30 4 7 43 11
	'	2	28	11.71548117	
	Ι Γ	3	22	9.205020921	
		0	0	0	
	, [1	1	0.418410042	
	4	2	30	12.55230126	
		3	22	9.205020921	
		0	0	0	
	5	1	0	0	
Planning	1	2	32	13.38912134	
Plaining	Γ	3	18	7.531380753	
		0	0	0	
	7	1	0	0	
	1 ' [2	22	9.205020921	30
		3		0	4
		0	0	0	
	8	1	3	1.255230126	
		2	28	11.71548117	
		3	15	6.276150628	
		0	0	0	
	21	1	6	2.510460251	7
	4' [2	8	3.347280335	7 43
	3		2	0.836820084	11
Total Planning			239	100	95

Element	Question No.	Score			
			Total Score	Percentage	Additional Points
		0	0	0	
	3	1	3	0.931677019	
]	2	30	9.316770186	
		3	12	3.726708075	
		0	0	0	
	9	1	3	0.931677019	
		2	28	8.695652174	
	Ī	3	15	4.658385093	
		0	0	0	
	13	1	2	0.621118012	
	'3 [2	34	10.55900621	
	· [3	9	2.795031056	
		0	0	0	
	14	1	1	0.310559006	
	14	2	32	9.937888199	
Input	l [3	15	4.658385093	
mpac	15	0	0	0	
		1	2	0.621118012	
		2	26	8.074534161	
	Γ	3	21	6.52173913	
		0	0	0	
	16	1	2	0.621118012	-
	" [2	34	10.55900621	
		3	9	2.795031056	
		0	0	0	
	18	1	2	0.621118012	
	1 '0 [2	36	11.18012422	
	<u> </u>	3	6	1.863354037	
		0	0	0	
	29	1		0	6
	29	2		0	3
		3		0	3
Total Input			322	100	12

Element	Question No.	Score	Total Score	Percentage	Additional Points
		0	0	0	
	25	1	2	1.666666667	Points 3 20
ļ	20	2	28	23.33333333	
		3	15	12.5	Points
		0	0	0	
Output/Outcome s	27	1	3	2.5	
		2	32	26.6666667	
		3	9	7.5	
		0	0	0	
	30	1	6	5	3
	50 [2	10	8.333333333	20
		3	15	12.5	19
Total Output			120	100	42

respondents	22	
applicable questions	1	
highest rating	3	
TMS	66	
TS	66	
Rating %	100.00	

22		
6		
3		
396		
239		
60.35		

22		
8		
3		
528		
322		
60.98		

respondents	22
applicable questions	12
highest rating	3
TMS	792
TS	447
Rating %	56.44

respondents	22		
applicable questions	3		
highest rating	3		
TMS	198	_	
TS	120		
Rating %	60.61		

Additional points				
respondents	22			
applicable guestions	15			
highest rating	- 1			
TS	194			
TMS	330			

	Ratings			Additional Pts		
Elements	TS	TMS	%	TS	TMS	%
Legal Status	66	66	100.00	0	1-	-
Planning	239	396	60.35	95	176	53.98
Input	322	528	60.98	12	22	54.55
Process	447	792	56.44	45	66	68.18
Output/Outcor	120	198	60.61	42	66	63.64
TOTAL	1194	1980	60.30	194	330	58.79
FINAL METT SCORE [%] (Rating + Additional Pts)					119.09	

* highest possible mett score is 200%

METT RATINGS

120.00
100.00
80.00
40.00
0.00

120.00
0.00

METT Rating

METT Rating

Additional Points

Elements

Prepared by;

SHERWIN M. MILAYA Ecotourism Coordinator Checked/verified by:

SVEMS/PASu-MWS



These pictures were taken during the discussion and filling-up of MEA forms. It was done during 2nd PAMB En Banc meeting held on May 30, 2024 at PENRO Conference Hall of DENR-PENRO, Brgy. Bangbangalon, Boac, Marinduque.



These pictures were taken during the discussion and filling-up of MEA forms. It was done during 2nd PAMB En Banc meeting held on May 30, 2024 at PENRO Conference Hall of DENR-PENRO, Brgy. Bangbangalon, Boac, Marinduque.