

Republic of the Philippines
Office of the President
Malacañang, Manila

DENR MIMAROPA RECORDS SECTION	
RECEIVED	
03 JUN 2022	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INCOMING	<input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
DATE NO.	

1622-87893-10

HEIRS OF DOMINADOR RUGA,
represented by ALEJANDRO V.
RUGA,

Appellants,

-versus-

O.P. Case No. 12-I-225
(DENR Case No. 8473)

HEIRS OF FELIX RUGA,
represented by ASUNCION
RAFOL-RAPADA,

Appellees,

X-----X

**VERIFIED MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

APPELLANTS, through the undersigned counsel, and unto this Honorable Office, most respectfully moves for a reconsideration of the *04 May 2022 Decision* rendered by the Hon. Executive Secretary, Salvador C. Medialdea for being contrary to the facts, the law and prevailing jurisprudence on the matters in issue.

A copy of the *Decision* sought to be reconsidered was received by the appellants on *May 25, 2022*, hence, they have a period of fifteen (15) days from notice thereof, or until *June 9, 2022* within which to seek a reconsideration of the adverse decision pursuant to *Section 14* of Administrative Order No. 22 prescribing rules and regulations governing appeals to the office of the President of the Philippines.

This pleading filed today is therefore, filed on time.

ARGUMENTS/SUBMISSIONS

With all due respect, the myopic resolution of the appeal that has been pending with the Honorable Office for almost a **decade** and shortly before the reigns or power of government is transferred to the next administration leaves far more questions than answers from the appellants' end.

It is frustrating to say the least, that despite the considerable length of time that this case has been pending with the Honorable Office, the *Decision* now sought to be reconsidered still miserably failed to address squarely or

even consider the material and vital issues raised by the appellants in their appeal.

After almost a **decade**, the Honorable Office has apparently opted to simply parrot the erroneous, baseless and highly irregular ratiocinations of the deciding Authorities in the proceedings below.

**Alejandro V. Ruga and his
counsel on record, Atty. Romeo
R. Robiso are dead.**

If it is of any consolation, both the appellant Alejandro V. Ruga and his counsel of record, Atty. Romeo R. Robiso are dead. Spared of the heartaches and disappointments on the fate of their case, especially with the way it has now been decided--- may they both still rest in peace in the carefree bosom of our creator.

*Photostat/scanned copies of their respective Certificate of Death are hereto attached as **Annexes "A" and "B"**.

Accordingly, appellant Alejandro V. Ruga's quest for justice now falls upon the shoulders and hereby continued by his compulsory heirs, namely: Joseph R. Ruga, Jovy Dominadora R. Rollon, Jonaly R. Ruga, Luisa R. Rones and Alexandro R. Ruga.

**There are two (2) --- not one (1)
Felix Ruga involved in this
case.**

Indeed, with just a little over a month before the reigns or power of government is transferred to the next administration, the temptation to differ and accomplish what the previous administration failed to resolve during its full term must be so compelling.

It would have been a real accomplishment though, were it not for the fact that the end times or buzzer beater decision now being sought to be reconsidered miserably failed to make an incisive, fair and jurisprudentially based judgment or resolution of the factual and legal issues involved in this case.

In the main, the Honorable Office ruled in favor of the appellees without even considering the most basic factual issues attendant to this case. The decision simply went on parroting the erroneous decision in the proceedings below saying that the appellees have better right to the subject property because Felix Ruga already had his Homestead Application No. 82144 filed in 1921.

Note that this alleged **Homestead Application No. 82144** was **never submitted or introduced** in evidence by the appellees in all of their

pleadings filed in the proceedings below.

The appellees have simply caught up and clung on it later, after it was **gratuitously injected** and since then **constantly alluded to** in the Orders and Decisions of the deciding Authorities in the proceedings below. It is here where the records of the case **digress** towards irredeemable down spin or nosedive.

Several questions may be asked. Who is this Felix Ruga who had Homestead Application No. 82144 under his name? Records show that his full name is **Felix Rodaje Ruga**. How is he related to the appellees? No relation whatsoever except that he is the name's sake of Asuncion's grandfather, **Felix Robia Ruga**. (*Pls. see Annexes "I" & "E" of Appellants Appeal Memorandum*)

The land of Felix Rodaje Ruga is different from the subject lot also claimed by the Heirs of Felix Robia Ruga.

As to the **identity** of the land once covered by Homestead Application No. 82144, appellant Asuncion Rafol-Rapada has already **admitted** in page 2, *paragraph 4* of her *Position Paper* filed with the DENR-CENRO-MIMAROPA that the land covered by the said homestead application is **DIFFERENT** from the land covered by Lot 1913 or the land subject matter of the instant case. (*Pls. see Annex "J", Ibid.*)

Regarding the **location** and **boundaries** of the land once covered by Homestead Application No. 82144 *vis a vis* Lot 1913 or the land subject matter of this case, records show that the two (2) parcels of lands are **different, separate and distinct** from each other.

The boundaries of the land covered by Homestead Application No. 82144 are:

North: Land of Tomas Raboy
South: Public Land

East: Public Land
West: Pawala River

In stark contrast, Lot 1913 or the land subject matter of this case has the following boundaries, to wit:

North: Land of M. Tansionco
South: Public Land

East: Land of E. Rada
West: Sinubaan Creek

Moreover, the land once covered by Homestead Application No. 82144 that was awarded to Felix Rodaje Ruga (*not appellants predecessor, Felix Robia Ruga*) can no longer be the subject of this case because as certified by the Municipal Assessor of Magdiwang, Romblon, the said lot

has already been subdivided and declared in the names of its present owners, namely: Lu, Cherry and Lagonilla Pablo; So and Lagunilla Alex & Pablo; Lu Jerry and Lu, Richard. (*Pls. see Certification dated June 16, 2010; Annex "D" of Supplement to Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration dated June 25, 2010*)

The sequence of events and the evidence submitted by the parties, etc.

The land subject matter of this case was originally tilled by the parties' great grandfather Sabino Ruga in the early 1900. Among his children are Felix Robia Ruga (*again not Felix Rodaje Ruga*) and Dominador R. Ruga.

Upon the death of their patriarch, only Dominador R. Ruga took interest and continued possession and tillage of the subject land all the way to the late 1950's when he filed his Homestead Application.

When Dominador R. Ruga died, the cycle was repeated when only his son, Alejandro V. Ruga took interest of the land. Alejandro stepped into his shoes and filed in his own right his **Free Patent Application on December 29, 2000.**

Records bear the fact that it took another **two (2) years** or sometime in the year **2002** before the appellee, Asuncion Rafol-Rapada even came into the picture. It is also said that Asuncion Rafol-Rapada has similarly filed an unnumbered Free Patent Application for the same land in her own right. However, the purported unnumbered Free Patent Application of Asuncion was neither submitted in evidence in all of the proceedings below nor furnished the appellants a copy thereof or the pleading to which it was attached if one has been actually filed.

Asuncion's grandfather, Felix Robia Ruga being listed as survey claimant in the Tax Mapping Control Roll has no factual and legal basis. In fact, after her fraudulent schemes and representations leading to the erroneous inclusion of her grandfather's name on the Roll of survey claimants was discovered, the lone Tax Declaration No. 0155 in the name of the Republic of the Philippines with appellees' supposed predecessor-in-interest acting as "Administrator" was ordered **CANCELLED**. Appellants Tax Declaration spanning decades and which to date they are still paying religiously was upheld.

The cancellation of appellees' fraudulently secured Tax Declaration was even certified to by the OIC Provincial Assessor of the Province of Romblon. (*Pls. see Annex "H" of Appellants' Appeal Memorandum*)

In truth and in fact, it was Asuncion Rafol-Rapada who filed a protest

against Alejandro V. Ruga's Free Patent Application dated December 29, 2000 already forming part of records and not the other way around.

It is absurd that Alejandro V. Ruga would protest anything since all of Asuncion's so-called evidence and baseless claims only came later. Two years later to be somewhat exact. While such erroneous designation of the parties was concededly overlooked and not sought to be corrected early on by the late Alejandro V. Ruga --- the oversight is understandable because of the fact that he was a mere High School graduate who was naturally oblivious of its legal implications;

At best, the erroneous designation of the parties' respective position at the earliest stage of the antecedent proceedings was already a prelude on how **creative** and **resourceful** Asuncion's **cohorts** at the concerned Offices of the deciding Authorities below.

As will be shown hereunder, from **zero evidence**, Asuncion ended up later with a **paper trail** of an alleged approved **Homestead Application No. 82144** that was erroneously attributed to her grandfather, **Felix Robia Ruga**.

However, such duly approved Homestead Application was already shown above as the Homestead Application of a name's sake of Asuncion's grandfather also named **Felix** but whose complete name is **Felix Rodaje Ruga**. Also, the land covered by the latter's application involved a lot **different, separate** and **distinct** to the land in dispute.

It is worthy of note too that Asuncion Rafol-Rapada **never submitted** this so-called documentary evidence in any of the proceedings below. Obviously, it was **dug** deep from the bowels of DENR Records before it was **gratuitously** but **erroneously** referred to later as an approved Homestead Application belonging to Asuncion's grandfather, **Felix Robia Ruga**.

Just like that, the so-called evidence for Asuncion was born from the sleight of hand of the worst sort. A product of fraud that is *akin* to an optical illusion that has eluded the attention of the deciding Authorities below, either because they were too blind to see it or they were all in it. With all due respect, there is simply no middle ground there, in as much as there is also no other better way to put what happened in words, unless of course appellants wanted to sugarcoat it, which they dont.

That is how **Homestead Application No. 82144** came to life in the case records. It was first mentioned later in the proceedings not by the appellees but by the deciding Authorities who thereafter, have constantly alluded thereto like a broken record in their *Orders* and *Decision* in the proceedings below.

In the end, **Felix Rodaje Ruga's** Homestead Application fraudulently became **Felix Robia Ruga's** approved Homestead Application. So far, the

lies, corruption and fraud stuck, since this case has been erroneously decided in favor of the appellees.

To further illustrate:

Original Possessor who farmed the land designated as Lot 1913 / Time Frame	Appellees as Applicants / Protestants / Time Frame	Appellants who should have been correctly designated as Applicants / Respondents / Time Frame
Sabino Ruga father of Felix Robia Ruga and Dominador R. Ruga – since early 1920's until his demise		Dominador R. Ruga – He stepped into the shoes of his father Sabino Ruga upon the latter's demise. Filed his Homestead Application for the subject Lot 1913 in 1957.
		Alejandro V. Ruga - stepped into the shoes of his father Dominador Ruga upon the latter's demise. Filed his Free Patent Application for the subject Lot 1913 in the year 2000.
	Asuncion Rafol-Rapada – Protested against Alejandro V. Ruga's Free Patent Application dated Dec. 29, 2000 sometime in the year 2002, and purportedly she also filed her own Free Patent Application in the year 2003.	
	Main evidence considered by the deciding Authorities in the proceedings below.	As argued by the Appellants
	-Approved Homestead Application No. 82144 in the name of Felix Ruga which was simply mentioned much later when the case was decided.	- Appellees purported Free Patent Application was never submitted in evidence in any of their pleadings in the proceedings below.
	-This particular document that the opposing parties to this case have not even seen all throughout the entire proceedings below was magically incorporated by the deciding Authorities in the case records simply by embedding it in their Orders/Decisions.	-Even without a copy of Homestead Application No. 82144, appellants were able to satisfactorily prove that the said application pertained to another person by the name of Felix Rodaje Ruga, a names sake of Asuncion's predecessor-in-interest Felix Robia Ruga. (Annexes "I" & "E" of the Appeal Memorandum for

	<p>-This is the same phantom document upon which the assailed Orders and assailed Decisions in this case was ultimately anchored.</p>	<p><i>the Appellants)</i></p> <p>-This particular evidence or documentary proof upon which the assailed Decision was anchored did not even come from the Appellees.</p> <p>- It was neither submitted by them in evidence nor argued in any of their previous pleadings.</p> <p>- Appellees simply caught up and clung to it after it was first mentioned in the decision and gratuitously considered in their favor in the proceedings below.</p> <p>-Homestead Application No. 82144 was gratuitously but erroneously considered in appellees' favor. It gained undeserved footing when it was tirelessly alluded to later in the assailed Orders and Decisions of the deciding Authorities in the proceedings below as approved Homestead Application belonging to Asuncion's grandfather, Felix Robia Ruga.</p> <p>-Like the proverbial lie that ultimately becomes the truth after being spoken of a thousand times, even the Honorable Office of the President apparently fell to the enchanting spell or tune of Homestead Application No. 82144.</p> <p>-The case record never lies, careful scrutiny thereof would readily show that Homestead Application No. 82144 belongs to Felix Rodaje Ruga, a namesake of Felix Robia Ruga.</p> <p>- It covers a parcel of land different, separate and distinct from Lot 1913 as</p>
--	---	---

		<p>shown by the boundaries thereof that were likewise, satisfactorily established on record.</p> <p>-The land covered by Homestead Application No. 82144 can no longer be the land subject of this case because it has long been subdivided and declared under the names of its present owners, namely: Lu, Cherry and Lagonilla Pablo; So and Lagunilla Alex & Pablo; Lu Jerry and Lu, Richard. <i>(Certification dated June 16, 2010; Annex "D" of Supplement to Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration dated June 25, 2010)</i></p>
		<p>- These established facts or circumstances of weight and substance were never addressed squarely by the deciding Authorities below.</p>
		<p>-It is quite unfortunate that the Honorable Office has also committed the same error and fell into the same blunder that plagued the deciding Authorities in the proceedings below.</p>

It is respectfully submitted that if only the Honorable Office had dug a little deeper into the case records, it would have certainly come up with a far more astute analysis of the parties conflicting arguments, claims and evidence that would have also led the said Office to a different conclusion.

Maybe if the Honorable Executive Secretary only had more time and not in a situation where obviously his foot is already at the door, he would have never missed the above-discussed facts and circumstances of weight and substance warranting the reversal of the appealed decision in favor of the appellants.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is most respectfully prayed of this Honorable Office that the *Decision* dated May 4, 2022 be duly **RECONSIDERED**, and the appealed *Decision* dated May 5, 2010 and *Resolution* dated September 17, 2012 of the Department of Environment and


Natural Resources (DENR) be **REVERSED** and **SET ASIDE** for being contrary to law, the facts and the evidence on record.

Other reliefs as are just and proper in the premises are also prayed for.
Pasay City, for the City of Manila, June 2, 2022.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

LEGIST LAW FIRM
Counsel for the Appellants
Ground Floor, JGG Building,
No. 105 Pasadeña Street, Pasay City

By:



ATTY. JOHN MANUEL B. BAUTISTA
Attorneys Roll No. 51344
IBP No. 174891 / 01-05-2022 / Pasig City
PTR No. 7697313 / 01-05-2022 / Pasay City
MCLE No. VI-00022371; 07-01-2019; Makati City
Contact No. 0908-740-0000 / 0915-245-5005
Email add: atty.bautista@gmail.com

Copy furnished:

**Atty. Rosalia S. Bartolome-Alejo/
Atty. Jovita D.S. Larrazabal**
Counsel for the Appellees
Suite 2401, The Orient Square,
F. Ortigas, Jr. Road, Ortigas Center,
Pasig City 1605

Reg. Receipt No.
Date: June 2, 2022
Pasay Br./Satellite P.O.

**Heirs of Felix Ruga
c/o Asuncion Rafol-Rapada**
Appellees
Poblacion, San Fernando
Romblon 5504

Reg. Receipt No.
Date: June 2, 2022
Pasay Br./Satellite P.O.

Acting Secretary Jim O. Sampulna
Department of Environment and
Natural Resources (DENR)
Visayas Avenue, Diliman,
Quezon City 1101

Reg. Receipt No.
Date: June 2, 2022
Pasay Br./Satellite P.O.

The Regional Executive Director
DENR-Region IV-B
L & S Building, 1515 Roxas Boulevard
Ermita, Manila 1000

Reg. Receipt No.
Date: June 2, 2022
Pasay Br./Satellite P.O.

VERIFICATION

I, **JOSEPH ROBISO RUGA**, of legal age, Filipino, Married, and for purposes of this case may be served with notices at the address of our new counsel, Legist Law Firm indicated above, after having been sworn to according to law, hereby depose and states:


1. That I am the eldest son and compulsory heir of the late Alejandro V. Ruga, the appellant in the above-entitled case.

2. That I have caused the preparation of the foregoing Motion for Reconsideration in my own right and in behalf of my biological siblings and co-heirs, namely: Jovy Dominadora R. Rollon, Jonaly R. Ruga, Luisa R. Ronces and Alexandro R. Ruga;

3. That I have read and understood its contents, and hereby certify that my sibling and I have common cause/s of action and defenses in this case, and that the allegations contained in this motion are true and correct of my own personal knowledge and/or based on authentic records in my possession;


4. That I further certify that this pleading is not filed to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation to any party and that the allegations therein contained have evidentiary support or will have evidentiary support after reasonable opportunity for discovery;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd day of June, 2022, at the City of Pasay, Philippines.


JOSEPH ROBISO RUGA
Affiant with Postal I.D. Card
No. PRN E25190554186

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 2nd day of June 2022, at Pasay City, affiant exhibited to me his valid Government issued Postal Identification Card indicated above as it appears below the affiant's name.

Doc. No. 17 ;
Page No. 9 ;
Book No. 3 ;
Series of 2022.


MA. CLEOFE L. JAIME
NOTARY PUBLIC
UNTIL DECEMBER 31, 2022
COMMISSION NO. 20-04
ROLL NO. 27802

PTR NO. 7697173 PASAY CITY 1/3/2022
IBP NO. AR30761562/ 1-6-2021
MCLE COMPLI NO. VI-0012231
ISSUED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2018 VALID UNTIL 4-14-2
ADDRESS STALL #1 UNIT 54 ARMA17
AVENUE PASAY CITY, M. M

EXPLANATION OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Sec. 11, Rule 13 of the 1997 Amended Rules of Civil Procedure, copies of the foregoing pleading are served upon the above parties and filed with the Honorable Office *via* LBC Courier/electronic mail/registered mail with return card due to lack of material time and available messenger to effect personal service.



JOHN MANUEL B. BAUTISTA

Republic of the Philippines
OFFICE OF THE CIVIL REGISTRAR GENERAL
CERTIFICATE OF DEATH

ANNEX "A"

Province <u>NEGROS PARALA</u>			Registry No. <u>2022 - 784</u>		
City/Municipality <u>CALOOCAN CITY</u>					
1. NAME (First) (Middle) (Last) <u>ALEJANDRO VERZA RUGA</u>			2. SEX (Male/Female) <u>MALE</u>		
3. DATE OF DEATH (Day, Month, Year) <u>24 JANUARY 2022</u>		4. DATE OF BIRTH (Day) (Month) (Year) <u>5 JUNE 1942</u>		5. AGE AT THE TIME OF DEATH (Fill-in below accordg. to age category) <u>79</u> a. IF 1 YEAR OR ABOVE b. IF UNDER 1 YEAR c. IF UNDER 24 HRS (2) Completed years (1) Months (2) Days Hours Min/Sec	
6. PLACE OF DEATH (Name of Hospital/Clinic/Institution/House No., St., Barangay, City/Municipality, Province) <u>434 SAMPALUKAN ST. BRGY 21 CALOOCAN CITY</u>			7. CIVIL STATUS (Single/Married/Widow/Widower/Annulled/Divorced) <u>MARRIED</u>		
8. RELIGION/RELIGIOUS SECT <u>CATHOLIC</u>		9. CITIZENSHIP <u>FILIPINO</u>		10. RESIDENCE (House No., St., Barangay, City/Municipality, Province, Country) <u>234 SAMPALUKAN ST. BRGY 21 CALOOCAN</u>	
11. OCCUPATION <u>RETIRED</u>		12. NAME OF FATHER (First, Middle, Last) <u>DOMINADOR RUGA</u>		13. MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER (First, Middle, Last) <u>JOSEFA RUGA</u>	

MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

(For ages 0 to 7 days, accomplish items 14-19a at the back)

19b. CAUSES OF DEATH (If the deceased is aged 8 days and over)		Interval Between Onset and Death	
I. Immediate cause : a. <u>MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION</u>		<u>24 hours</u>	
Antecedent cause : b. _____			
Underlying cause : c. _____			
II. Other significant conditions contributing to death: <u>WAS CORONARY</u>			
19c. MATERNAL CONDITION (If the deceased is female aged 15-49 years old)			
_____ a. pregnant, not in labour _____ b. pregnant, in labour _____ c. less than 42 days after delivery _____ d. 42 days to 1 year after delivery _____ e. None of the choices			
19d. DEATH BY EXTERNAL CAUSES			
a. Manner of death (Homicide, Suicide, Accident, Legal intervention, etc.) _____			
b. Place of Occurrence of External Cause (e.g. home, farm, factory, street, sea, etc.) _____			
21a. ATTENDANT		21b. If attended, state duration (mm/dd/yy)	
_____ 1 Private Physician _____ 2 Public Health Officer _____ 3 Hospital Authority _____ 4 None _____ 5 Others (Specify) _____		From _____ To _____	
22. CERTIFICATION OF DEATH			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby certify that the foregoing particulars are correct as near as same can be ascertained and I further certify that I have attended/ have not attended the deceased and that death occurred at _____ am/pm on the date of death specified above.			
Signature _____		REVIEWED BY: <u>ZENAIDA T. CALUPAZ, MD</u>	
Name in Print _____		Signature Over Printed Name of Health Officer	
Title or Position _____		Caloocan Health Department	
Address _____		License No. <u>68123</u> <u>JAN 27 2022</u>	
Date <u>1-26-2022</u>			

23. CORPSE DISPOSAL (Burial, Cremation, if others, specify) <u>CREMATION</u>		24a. BURIAL/CREMATION PERMIT Number _____ Date Issued _____		24b. TRANSFER PERMIT Number _____ Date Issued _____	
25. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CEMETERY OR CREMATORY <u>NOTHER TERESA CEMETERY</u>					
26. CERTIFICATION OF INFORMANT I hereby certify that all information supplied are true and correct to my own knowledge and belief.			27. PREPARED BY		
Signature <u>Jovy B. Pollon</u>			Signature _____		
Name in Print <u>JOVY B. POLLON</u>			Name in Print _____		
Relationship to the Deceased <u>DAUGHTER</u>			Title or Position _____		
Address <u>SAME AS ABOVE</u>			Date <u>JANUARY 26, 2022</u>		
Date <u>JANUARY 26, 2022</u>					
28. RECEIVED BY			29. REGISTERED AT THE OFFICE OF THE CIVIL REGISTRAR		
Signature _____			Signature <u>REYNALDO O. LEGASPI JR.</u>		
Name in Print <u>MIZPAH DORCAS B. CAUGAL</u>			Name in Print <u>Registration Officer III</u>		
Title or Position <u>Administrative Aide IV</u>			Title or Position _____		
Date <u>JAN 27 2022</u>			Date <u>JAN 27 2022</u>		

REMARKS/ANNOTATIONS (For LCRO/OCRG Use Only)

FOR CHILDREN AGED 0 TO 7 DAYS		
14. AGE OF MOTHER	15. METHOD OF DELIVERY (Normal spontaneous vertex, if others, specify)	16. LENGTH OF PREGNANCY: (In completed weeks)
17. TYPE OF BIRTH (Single, Twin, Triplet, etc.)	18. IF MULTIPLE BIRTH, CHILD WAS (First, Second, Third, etc.)	
MEDICAL CERTIFICATE		
19a. CAUSES OF DEATH		
a. Main disease/condition of infant		
b. Other diseases/conditions of infant		
c. Main maternal disease/condition affecting infant		
d. Other maternal disease/condition affecting infant		
e. Other relevant circumstances		
CONTINUE TO FILL UP ITEM 20		

POSTMORTEM CERTIFICATE OF DEATH	
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have performed an autopsy upon the body of the deceased and that the cause of death was	
Signature	Title/Designation
Name in Print	Address
Date	

CERTIFICATION OF EMBALMER	
I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have embalmed following all the regulations prescribed by the Department of Health.	
Signature	Title/Designation
Name in Print	License No.
Address	Issued on
	Expiry Date

AFFIDAVIT FOR DELAYED REGISTRATION OF DEATH	
I, _____, of legal age, single/married/divorced/widow/widower, with residence and postal address _____, after being duly sworn in accordance with law, do hereby depose and say:	
1. That _____ died on _____ in _____ and was buried/cremated in _____ on _____.	
2. That the deceased at the time of his/her death: <input type="checkbox"/> was attended by _____; <input type="checkbox"/> was not attended.	
3. That the cause of death of the deceased was _____.	
4. That the reason for the delay in registering this death was due to _____.	
5. That I am executing this affidavit to attest to the truthfulness of the foregoing statements for all legal intents and purposes.	
In truth whereof, I have affixed my signature below this _____ day of _____, at _____, Philippines.	
(Signature Over Printed Name of Affiant)	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, _____, Philippines, affiant who exhibited to me his/her CTC/valid ID _____ issued on _____ at _____.	
Signature of the Administering Officer	Position / Title / Designation
Name in Print	Address

Municipality Form No. 103 (Revised August 2016)		Republic of the Philippines OFFICE OF THE CIVIL REGISTRAR GENERAL CERTIFICATE OF DEATH		(To be accomplished in quadruplicate using black ink)	
Province _____ City/Municipality <u>LAS PINAS CITY</u>			Registry No. <u>2020 1819</u>		
1. NAME (First) (Middle) (Last) <u>ROMEO ROMANO ROBISO</u>			2. SEX (Male/Female) <u>M</u>		
3. DATE OF DEATH (Day, Month, Year) <u>March 21, 2020</u>		4. DATE OF BIRTH (Day) (Month) (Year) <u>May 25, 1938</u>		5. AGE AT THE TIME OF DEATH (Fill-in below accordg. to age category) a. IF 1 YEAR OR ABOVE (2) Completed years <u>81</u> b. IF UNDER 1 YEAR (1) Months (2) Days (3) Hours (4) Min/Sec <u>9 25</u>	
6. PLACE OF DEATH (Name of Hospital/Clinic/Institution/House No., St., Barangay, City/Municipality, Province) <u>LAS PINAS DOCTORS HOSPITAL</u>			7. CIVIL STATUS (Single/Married/Widow/ Widower/Annulled/Divorced) <u>Married</u>		
8. RELIGION/RELIGIOUS SECT <u>Roman Catholic</u>		9. CITIZENSHIP <u>FILIPINO</u>		10. RESIDENCE (House No., St., Barangay, City/Municipality, Province, Country) <u>#246 GOV. SANTOS B. F. HOMES PARAÑAQUE CITY METRO</u>	
11. OCCUPATION <u>LAWYER</u>		12. NAME OF FATHER (First, Middle, Last) <u>FELIZARDO ROON ROMANO</u>		13. MOTHER NAME OF MOTHER (First, Middle, Last) <u>BLANDINA RAFOLO ROBISO</u>	
MEDICAL CERTIFICATE (For ages 0 to 7 days, accomplish items 14-19a at the back)					
19b. CAUSES OF DEATH (If the deceased is aged 8 days and over) Interval Between Onset and Death I. Immediate cause : a. <u>SEPTIC SHOCK SECONDARY TO PNEUMONIA IN THE ELDERLY</u> Antecedent cause : b. _____ Underlying cause : c. _____ II. Other significant conditions contributing to death _____					
19c. MATERNAL CONDITION (If the deceased is female aged 15-49 years old) a. pregnant, not in labour _____ b. pregnant, in labour _____ c. less than 42 days after delivery _____ d. 42 days to 1 year after delivery _____ e. None of the choices _____					
19d. DEATH BY EXTERNAL CAUSES a. Manner of death (Homicide, Suicide, Accident, Legal intervention, etc.) _____ b. Place of Occurrence of External Cause (e.g. home, farm, factory, street, sea, etc.) _____					
20. AUTOPSY (Yes / No) <u>No</u>					
21a. ATTENDANT 1 Private Physician _____ 2 Public Health Officer _____ 3 Hospital Authority _____ 4 None _____ 21b. If attended, state duration (mm/dd/yy) From _____ To _____					
22. CERTIFICATION OF DEATH I hereby certify that the foregoing particulars are correct as near as same can be ascertained and I further certify that I <input type="checkbox"/> have attended/ <input type="checkbox"/> have not attended the deceased and that death occurred at <u>12:50AM</u> am/pm on the date of death specified above.					
Signature _____ Name in Print <u>DR. JOHN CALDERON M.D.</u> Title or Position <u>RESIDENT-ON-DUTY</u> Address <u>LPDH</u> Date <u>March 21, 2020</u>					
23. CORPSE DISPOSAL (Burial, Cremation, if others, specify) <u>CREMATION</u>					
24a. BURIAL/CREMATION PERMIT Number _____ Date Issued _____					
24b. TRANSFER PERMIT Number <u>1175619</u> Date Issued <u>MAY 05 2020</u>					
25. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CEMETERY OR CREMATORY <u>PANTEON DE DASMARINAS CEMETERY, DASMARINAS CITY, CAVITE.</u>					
26. CERTIFICATION OF INFORMANT I hereby certify that all information supplied are true and correct to my own knowledge and belief. Signature _____ Name in Print <u>AURORA F. ROBISO</u> Relationship to the Deceased <u>WIFE</u> Address <u>#246 GOV. SANTOS B. F. HOMES PARAÑAQUE CITY METRO MANILA</u> Date <u>March 21, 2020</u>					
27. PREPARED BY Signature _____ Name in Print <u>SHIRLIE F. SOLIS</u> Title or Position <u>ADMITTING CLERK</u> Date <u>March 21, 2020</u>					
28. RECEIVED BY Signature _____ Name in Print <u>OLIVA E. TOLENTINO</u> Title or Position <u>ADMIN. AIDE II</u> Date <u>MAY 05 2020</u>					
29. REGISTERED AT THE OFFICE OF THE CIVIL REGISTRAR Signature _____ Name in Print <u>CORAZON M. REATO</u> Title or Position <u>ASST. REGISTRATION OFFICER</u> Date <u>MAY 19 2020</u>					
REMARKS/ANNOTATIONS (For LCRO/OCRG Use Only) <u>LATE REGISTRATION</u>					
TO BE FILLED-UP AT THE OFFICE OF THE CIVIL REGISTRAR 5 8 9 10 11					

FOR CHILDREN AGED 0 TO 7 DAYS

14. AGE OF MOTHER	15. METHOD OF DELIVERY (Normal spontaneous vertex, if others, specify)	16. LENGTH OF PREGNANCY: (In completed weeks)
17. TYPE OF BIRTH (Single, Twin, Triplet, etc)		18. IF MULTIPLE BIRTH, CHILD WAS (First, Second, Third, etc)
MEDICAL CERTIFICATE		
19a. CAUSES OF DEATH		
a. Main disease/condition of infant		
b. Other diseases/conditions of infant		
c. Main maternal disease/condition affecting infant		
d. Other maternal disease/condition affecting infant		
e. Other relevant circumstances		
CONTINUE TO FILL UP ITEM 20		

POSTMORTEM CERTIFICATE OF DEATH

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have performed an autopsy upon the body of the deceased and that the cause of death was

Signature _____ Title/Designation _____
 Name in Print _____ Address _____
 Date _____

CERTIFICATION OF EMBALMER

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have embalmed _____ following all the regulations prescribed by the Department of Health.

DIRECT CREMATION

Signature _____ Title/Designation _____
 Name in Print _____ License No. _____
 Address _____ Issued on _____ at _____
 Expiry Date _____

AFFIDAVIT FOR DELAYED REGISTRATION OF DEATH

I, Aurora P. Robiso, of legal age, single/married/divorced/widow/widower, with residence and postal address 246 Gov. Santos Ave., BF Homes Subdivision Paranaque City 1720 after being duly sworn in accordance with law, do hereby depose and say:

1. That Romeo Romano Robiso died on March 21, 2020 in Las Pinas Doctors Hospital, Las Pinas City and was buried/cremated in Panteon de Dasmaringas, Dasmaringas City on March 22, 2020.

2. That the deceased at the time of his/her death:
☒ was attended by Vasallaje Funeral Services;
☐ was not attended.

3. That the cause of death of the deceased was septic shock secondary to pneumonia.

4. That the reason for the delay in registering this death was due to myself being hospitalized on 03/26/20 & recently discharged 04/19/20 fr. St. Lukes BGC.

5. That I am executing this affidavit to attest to the truthfulness of the foregoing statements for all legal intents and purposes.

In truth whereof, I have affixed my signature below this 25th day of April 2020 at Las Pinas City, Philippines.

Aurora P. Robiso
 Aurora P. Robiso
 (Signature Over Printed Name of Affiant)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 05 MAY 2020 day of _____ at LAS PINAS, Philippines, affiant who exhibited to me his/her CTC/valid ID

N03-07-026254 issued on 11/22/2016 at LTO Agency Code N10.

Signature of the Administering Officer
ATTY. JEAME Y. SALVATERRA
 Notary Public Until December 31, 2023
 Appointment No. LP-19-025
 IBP Lifetime No. 040781, Roll No. 6110
 PTR No. 11890289, 01/02/2020, Las Pinas City
 VL 0000399, 05-03-18

Position / Title / Designation

Address