



BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Ninoy Aquino Parks and Wildlife Center, Quezon Avenue, Diliman, 1100 Quezon City Tel. Nos.: (632) 8924-6031 to 35 | Fax: (632) 8924-0109, (632) 8920-4417 Website: https://bmb.gov.ph | E-mail Address: bmb@bmb.gov.ph

TIME:

of the Atlanta Control	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		DENR MIMAROPA RECORDS SECTION
FED 13	2020		RECENTED
MEMOR	RANDUM		FEB 1 0 2023
ТО	:	The Regional Executive Directors DENR Regions 11, MIMAROPA and CARAGA	☐ INCOMING ☐ OUTGOING

FROM : The In-Charge, Office of the Director

SUBJECT: CONSULTATION WORKSHOP ON THE DRAFT POLICIES
PERTAINING TO SPECIAL USE AGREEMENT IN PROTECTED
AREAS (SAPA) AND UPDATING OF THE NATIONAL
ECOTOURISM STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN (NESAP) BACK TO
BACK WITH VALIDATION OF THE METT SCORES FROM THE
PROTECTED AREA MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

ASSESSMENT REPORTS

Further to our Memoranda dated 10 January 2023 and 19 January 2023 regarding the cluster consultation workshop on the draft policies on SAPA and updating of NESAP and providing new schedules for the conduct of validation of the METT scores derived from the Protected Area Management Effectiveness Assessment Reports, may we request the participation of the Protected Area Superintendents of the following Biodiversity Corridor Project sites including representatives from the Mindoro Biodiversity Corridor and Eastern Mindanao Biodiversity Conservation to the consultation workshop and the validation of the METT scores:

Region	Name of Protected Area	*Consultation Workshop Proper	*METT Validation
MIMAROPA	Mts. Iglit-Baco Natural Park	07-09 Feb.	10 Feb. 2023
	Naujan Lake National Park	2023	
11	Mati Protected Landscape	21-23 Mar.	24 Mar. 2023
	Mt. Hamiguitan Range Wildlife Sanctuary	2023	
	Mainit Hotspring Protected Landscape		
CARAGA	Agusan Marsh Wildlife Sanctuary	21-23 Mar.	24 Mar. 2023
	Tinuy-an Falls Protected Landscape	2023	
	Alamio, Buayan, Carac-an, Panikian River		-
	and Sipangpang Falls Watershed Forest		
	Reserve		
	Surigao Watershed Forest Reserve	The Same	
	Cabadbaran River Watershed Forest	- *	
	Reserve		2
	Andanan River Watershed Forest		
	Reserve		

^{*}Exclusive of travel time



May we also request that the latest MEA Report of the above-mentioned PAs and Means of Verification (please see attached list of MOVs) for each METT indicator and sub-indicator be readily available and uploaded to the link; https://bit.ly/METT_MOVs.

Our Staff from the National Parks Division will be coordinating with the CDD and the concerned PAMO for the details of activities.

For information and appropriate action.

THERESA M. TENAZAS

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Environment and Natural Resources
BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT BUREAU
BMB202300454





BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Ninoy Aquino Parks and Wildlife Center, Quezon Avenue, Diliman, 1100 Quezon City Tel. Nos.: (632) 8924-6031 to 35 | Fax: (632) 8924-0109, (632) 8920-4417 Website: https://bmb.gov.ph | E-mail Address: bmb@bmb.gov.ph

JAN 1 0 2023

MEMORANDUM

FOR

The Regional Executive Directors

DENR - Regions V to VIII and MIMAROPA

FROM

The OIC, Director

SUBJECT

CLUSTER 2 OF THE CONSULTATION WORKSHOP ON THE DRAFT POLICIES PERTAINING TO SPECIAL USE AGREEMENT IN PROTECTED AREAS (SAPA) AND UPDATING OF THE NATIONAL

ECOTOURISM STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN (NESAP)

To support the implementation of the NIPAS Act, as amended, various policies are being developed and updated by the Biodiversity Management Bureau. Based on the discussions during the High-Level Policy Consultation on Biodiversity Conservation held in August 2022, the following draft policies are being prioritized for consultations:

- 1. Revised Rules and Regulations Governing Special Use Agreement in Protected Areas (SAPA):
- 2. Guidelines on the Provisional Agreement for Special Uses in Protected Areas;
- 3. Guidelines on the Execution of Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) for the Comanagement, Development, Resource Use, Research and other Activities in Protected Areas.

Further, please be informed that the National Ecotourism Strategy and Action Plan (NESAP 2013-2022) is already due for updating.

In view of the above and in line with the Secretary's thrusts and direction to promote convergence among key stakeholders, policy coherence and to ensure the readiness of the policy prior to submission to the Office of the Secretary, please be informed that pursuant to the DENR Special Order No. 2022-760, Cluster 2 of the Consultation Workshop on the Draft Policies Related to SAPA and Updating of the NESAP is scheduled on 6-10 February 2023 to be held in a venue in Cebu City.

The said Consultation Workshop shall be participated in by the Protected Area Superintendents (PASus) of the legislated Protected Areas and your respective Chief (or representative) of the Conservation and Development Division.



Prior to the Consultation Workshop, may we also request that copies of the above-mentioned draft policies be provided to the members of the Protected Area Management Boards (PAMBs) or discussed during the PAMB meeting and request for their comments and inputs which shall also be relayed during the said Workshop. Attached are copies of the above-mentioned draft policies which may also be downloaded from https://bit.ly/SAPA_NESAPDraft. Attached also is a copy of the program of activities for your reference.

For coordination and other concerns, you may contact this Bureau's National Parks Division through Mr. Reneo Vicente and Mr. Gabriel Anthony Ferrer at npd@bmb.gov.ph, reneo.vicente@bmb.gov.ph or gabriel.ferrer@bmb.gov.ph.

For information and consideration.

NATIVIDAD Y BERNARDINO







BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Ninoy Aquino Parks and Wildlife Center, Quezon Avenue, Diliman, 1100 Quezon City Tel. Nos.: (632) 8924-6031 to 35 | Fax: (632) 8924-0109, (632) 8920-4417 Website: https://bmb.gov.ph | E-mail Address: bmb@bmb.gov.ph

JAN 1 0 2023

MEMORANDUM

FOR

The Regional Executive Directors

DENR - Regions IX to XII and CARAGA

FROM

The OIC, Director

SUBJECT

CLUSTER 3 OF THE CONSULTATION WORKSHOP ON THE DRAFT POLICIES PERTAINING TO SPECIAL USE AGREEMENT IN PROTECTED AREAS (SAPA) AND UPDATING OF THE NATIONAL

ECOTOURISM STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN (NESAP)

To support the implementation of the NIPAS Act, as amended, various policies are being developed and updated by the Biodiversity Management Bureau. Based on the discussions during the High-Level Policy Consultation on Biodiversity Conservation held in August 2022, the following draft policies are being prioritized for consultations:

- 1. Revised Rules and Regulations Governing Special Use Agreement in Protected Areas (SAPA);
- 2. Guidelines on the Provisional Agreement for Special Uses in Protected Areas; and
- 3. Guidelines on the Execution of Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) for the Comanagement, Development, Resource Use, Research and other Activities in Protected Areas.

Further, please be informed that the National Ecotourism Strategy and Action Plan (NESAP 2013-2022) is already due for updating.

In view of the above and in line with the Secretary's thrusts and direction to promote convergence among key stakeholders, policy coherence and to ensure the readiness of the policy prior to submission to the Office of the Secretary, please be informed that pursuant to the DENR Special Order No. 2022-760, Cluster 3 of the Consultation Workshop on the Draft Policies Related to SAPA and Updating of the NESAP is scheduled on 20-24 February 2023 to be held in a venue in Cagayan de Oro City.

The said Consultation Workshop shall be participated in by the Protected Area Superintendents (PASus) of the legislated Protected Areas and your respective Chief (or representative) of the Conservation and Development Division.



Prior to the Consultation Workshop, may we also request that copies of the above-mentioned draft policies be provided to the members of the Protected Area Management Boards (PAMBs) or discussed during the PAMB meeting and request for their comments and inputs which shall also be relayed during the said Workshop. Attached are copies of the above-mentioned draft policies which may also be downloaded from https://bit.ly/SAPA_NESAPDraft. Attached also is a copy of the program of activities for your reference.

For coordination and other concerns, you may contact this Bureau's National Parks Division through Mr. Reneo Vicente and Mr. Gabriel Anthony Ferrer at npd@bmb.gov.ph, reneo.vicente@bmb.gov.ph or gabriel.ferrer@bmb.gov.ph.

For information and consideration.

NATIVIDAD Y. BERNARDINO



BMB202300053





BIODIVERSITY MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Ninoy Aquino Parks and Wildiife Center, Quezon Avenue, Diliman, 1100 Quezon City Tel. Nos.: (632) 8924-6031 to 35 | Fax: (632) 8924-0109, (632) 8920-4417 Website: https://bmb.gov.ph | E-mail Address: bmb@bmb.gov.ph

JAN 19 2023

MEMORANDUM

FOR

The Regional Executive Directors

DENR Regions 1, 4-A, 4-B, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 13

FROM

.

The OIC Director

SUBIECT

VALIDATION OF THE METT SCORES FROM THE PROTECTED AREA MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS ASSESSMENT REPORTS TO BE

HELD ON IANUARY TO MARCH 2023

This is in reference to BMB Memorandum dated 06 October 2022 providing the schedules of the conduct of validation of the METT scores derived from the Protected Area Management Effectiveness Assessment Reports.

Relative thereto, we are providing the new schedules for the conduct of validation for the remaining protected areas. May we request that the representatives from the CDD, the PASu, and Staffs from the PAMO be available during the scheduled validation (see attached document). May we also request that the latest MEA Report of the 30 PAs and Means of Verification (MOVs) for each METT indicator and sub-indicator be readily available. We are also providing the link where you can drop the latest MEA Report and MOVs (https://bit.ly/METT MOVs)

Attached also is the list of the protected areas and status of its validation for reference and the list of MOVs for each METT indicator and sub-indicators that have to be prepared by the Field Offices/PAMO.

The concerned BMB team will be coordinating with the CDD and the concerned PAMOs for the details of the validation.

For information and appropriate action.

NATIVIDAD Y BERNARDINO





Means of verification for each METT indicator and sub-indicator

Note: Additional MOVs are highlighted green

		area have logal status? CONTEXT	1. Legal status Does the protected	issue
The protected area has been formally gazetted/covenanted. Republic Act.	The protected area is in the process of being gazetted/covenanted but the process is still incomplete (includes sites designated under international conventions, such as Ramsar, or local/traditional law such as community conserved areas, which do not yet have national legal status or covenant). Presidential Proclamation or local (municipal/provincial) ordinance. Note: PAs which have not passed through public consultation can only score a maximum of 2.	There is agreement that the protected area should be gazetted/ covenanted but the process has not yet begun. Key features (e.g. Key Biodiversity Area trigger species) to be protected, identified and agreed upon by local government and/or DENR.	The protected area is not gazetted/covenanted.	Criteria
W	ы	pa	0	Score
	Refers to state- managed protected areas.			Justification/ Explanation/ Resnarks
	Republic Act Ramsar designation World Heritage designation Identified as KBA, IBA, AZE, and IPA ICCA documentation If PA is not yet gazetted and raised to RA status, the highest possible score is 2 Once the PA is finally raised to RA status, then the	PASA report to score as 1 Local ordinances (barangay, municipal, or provincial) Presidential proclamation Documentation of nubble consultation		Means of Verification

		control land use and activities (e.g. hunting)? PLANNING	2. Protected area regulations Are appropriate regulations in place to	Issue
Regulations for controlling inappropriate land use and activities in the protected area exist and provide an excellent basis for management. Regulations specific for the area, and key threats to its key features and based upon carrying capacity (e.g. extraction, pollution, habitat destruction).	Regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area exist but there are some weaknesses or gaps. Regulations specific for the area, and key threats to its key features but are not based upon carrying capacity for extraction & pollution.	Some regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area exist but these are major weaknesses. Regulations specific for the area but these do not address the key threats to PA key features.	There are no regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area. Only laws are those generally applicable throughout the country	Criteria
. س	ы	gash.	0	Score
	customary controls	Refers to the existence of both legal	Secure year fall over an Astron year for	Justification/ Soplanation/ Remarks
	Local ordinances (barangay, municipal, or provincial) Documents on customary controls Include the studies pertaining to the carrying capacity of the PA	PAMB resolutions Management Plan Management romes with dear regulations in place		Means of Verification

Issue	Criteria	Score	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks		Means of Verification
3. Law enforcement	The staff has no effective capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations. No staff other than PASU no training and/or no	. 0	Details should be provided on the		Organizational structure, presence and number of full time staff with training on enforcement
with responsibility for	budget.		perception or fact if	9	Work and financial plan
managing the site) enforce protected area rules well	There are major deficiencies in staff	1	factual, evidence should be provided).		Budget expenditure and allocation for enforcement (includes capdev, equipment operations)
enough?	legislation and regulations (e.g. lack of skills, no patrol budget lack of institutional support).		be collected (i.e.		Enforcement plan (presence/absence, status of implementation, list of stakeholders involved)
INPUT	In addition to PASu, presence of full time PA staff, an enforcement plan budget and equipment systematic		from WPP for the	•	Deputization order/paper
	monitoring and reporting		basis for assessment		Documentation PA Stuff training for enforcement canadries
	The staff has acceptable capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations but some	12	for enforcement is allocated and	Acceptable	Acceptable vs. excellant capacity/resources to enforce PA legislation
	Presence of trained fulltime PA staff, an enforcement plan, budget and equipment, systematic monitoring		Enforcement Plan is usually incorporated	•	Logbook of patrois, frequency
	and reporting	nija di Messa san	in the PAMP and	•	Number of operations
	provided).		should be reviewed. "Systematic" is based		Number of apprehensions, reported confiscation, seizures
	The staff has excellent capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations.	ω	on PAMP, will include: activity,		Systematic monitoring and reporting system that is working and functional
	Presence of trained fulltime PA staff, an enforcement plan, budget and equipment, systematic monitoring		responsible, budget,	Indicato	indicator related to #10
	and reporting. (Note: An updated deputization order/ paper should be provided).		conducted,		Enforcement report Accomplishment report
			applicable.		

	THE THE STATE OF T			
		Is management undertaken according to agreed objectives? PLANNING	4. Protected area objectives	issue
The protected area has agreed objectives and is managed to meet these objectives. The objectives in the PAMP/IPAP are specific and quantified in terms of special features, biophysical (species populations/ecosystem benefits), and socio-economic outcomes as appropriate. At least 70% of WFP and available staff and budget addressing the key priorities of the PAMP/IPAP.	The protected area has agreed objectives, but is only partially managed according to these objectives. The objectives in the PAMP/IPAP are specific and quantified in terms of special features, blophysical (species populations/ecosystem benefits), and socioeconomic outcomes as appropriate. At least 50% of WFP and available staff and budget are addressing the key priorities of the PAMP/IPAP.	The protected area has agreed objectives, but is not managed according to these objectives. The objectives in the PAMP/IPAP are specific and quantified in terms of special features, blophysical (species populations/ecosystem benefits), and socioeconomic outcomes as appropriate. Less than 50% of WFP and available staff and budget are addressing the key priorities of the PAMP/IPAP.	No firm objectives have been agreed for the protected area.	Criteria
ະພ	12		0	Score
	ask if there are objectives in the plan(s) and if these are being implemented. Basis for evaluating implementation is the WFP.	Basis for scaring is the PAMP/IPAP [Initial Protected Area Plan]. Need to		Explanation/ Remarks
		0		
	threats, and socio-economic) Work and financial plan (implementation plan) matches the identified objectives Measurement is through the activities in the Management Plan that matches its objectives Accomplishment report (monthly, quarterly, annually) Implementation plan in 10 years enumerating the activities	Objectives indicated in the PAMP/IPAP are clear, verifiable, and quantifiable (species, ecosystems,		Means of Vertification

The boundary of the protected area is known by the management authority and local residents/neighboring land users and is appropriately demarcated. Perimeter is clearly demarcated (i.e., for marine, technical descriptions are visible from jump-off points/landward side).	The boundary of the protected area is known by both the management authority and local residents/neighboring land users but is not appropriately demarcated. Signs exist at major entry points and boundaries are based upon landmarks in the field (i.e., terrestrial: landmarks; marine: technical description).
W	
at landward side).	terrestrial PAs. A: to use iandimarks when applicable, i.e., for terrestrial PAs: for merine, technical description to be visible in "Jump-off" points (= entry points
The transfer of the transfer that	socialization of management zones Survey reports to ensure constant proper demarcation of PA boundaries. Validation should be conducted to check if local residents are aware of PA boundaries Consultation with the local residents regarding

	PLANNING	ittanagement plan and is it being implemented?	Is there a	7.Management Plan	issue
				There is no management plan for the protected area. The management plan is still being prepared.	Criteria
				0	Scare
nadar keyeliharan da da da garapa		ninger den sind on any age system.			Justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
		-			
	0	0	0	Manage	
Minutes of the meeting customary agreements or memorandum	For sites designated under international conventions (e.g. Ramsar) or local/traditional law [refer to legal context], management plans must be written down and approved by relevant stakeholders or TWG	Documentation on management plan activities being implemented / accomplishment report	MP officially approved by the PAMB and adopted to score 1	Management plan	Means of Verthcatton

	7c. Planning The results of monitoring, research and evaluation process are routinely incorporated into planning.	plan.	7b. Planning There is an established schedule and process for proc	7a. Planning The planning process allows adequate opportunity process for key stakeholders to influence the management plan.	A management plan exists and is being implemented At least 70% of the activities (including all high priority activities) of the official management plan are being implemented.	A management plan exists but it is only being partially implemented because of funding constraints or other problems. The highest priority activities of the official management plan are being implemented.	Management plan has been officially adopted
	uation +1		38 for +1	errunity +1	emented. 3 ligh at plan	ng cestraints	9 <u>d</u> .
And interest and the second and the second control of the second c	new threats and drivers, natural disaster events, availability of funding) can be integrated into the plan. Minutes of the PAMB meeting Documentation and schedule of periodic review incorporated in the implementation plan or WFP	Attendance sheets Most management plans cover 5-10-year periods. This parameter captures whether changes (e.g.)	7a Minutes of the PAMB meeting	These additional parameters go beyond the basic assessment and identify whether good practices are in	If the MP is already adapted but still in revision, the score will be one (1).	feedbacking of activity progress based on the implementation plan or WPP If the Management Plan (MP) is not yet endorsed by the Protected Area Management Board (PAMB), the indicator score will be 0 because it is not yet implemented	Work and financial plan Monitorino and evaluation reports - remiter

Arr fing At l acti	Arranding Arrand	egular and is it amented?	8. Regular work No plan (Annual WFP)	Issue			(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		Manual	7d Operations
A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented. At least 70% of WPP activities (including priority activities) is implemented.	A regular work plan exists and many activities are implemented. At least 50% of WFP activities (including priority activities) is implemented.	A regular work plan exists but few of the activities are implemented. Less than 50% of WFP is implemented.	No regular work plan exists	Criteria						
w	2	1	0	Score						+1
				justification/ Explanation/ Remarks						
0	4	•				7d	•		•	7c
Monthly, quarterly, and annual accomplishment reports	Documentation of status (ongoing completed, abandoned, scheduled for future implementation) or progress of activities in the WPP Minutes of the meeting PAMB resolutions	Approved annual work and financial plan incorporated into the management plan		Means of Verification	Operations manual approved by the PAMB	Accomplishment report	List of scientific research being carried out and its relevance to management	Biodiversity and threats assessment or monitoring reports	Minutes of the PAMB meeting	

ecological pri protected ar planning and		Information ecological proprotected ar planning and	INPUT ecological processes protected area is not and decision making	Information to innanage the area?	9. Resource There is little of saventory critical habitate protected area	issue
eriogical processes and cultural values of the ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and decision making.		Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient for most key areas of planning and decision making.	Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is not sufficient to support planning and decision making.	if information is more than 10 years and have not been updated.	There is little or no information available on the critical habitats, species and cultural values of the protected area.	Criteria
La		ы	-		0	Score
	-	for biodiversity observation and PA management	If the RBI (Resource Biodiversity Inventory) and whether information feeds into planning and decision making	Basis for assessment		Explanation/ Remarks
		•				
the indicator score is 1. Wedands should be added to inventory	The Biodiversity Assessment and Monitoring System (BAMS) report (conducted every 3 years)	Indicate that the information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient and is being used.	conducted regularly Results of ecological and cultural research inform key areas of planning and decision-making (must not be limited to species list, conservation status, and diversity indices should be special,	BAMS, BMS, and other reporting of the like Socio-economic and cultural values assessments	Ecological assessments conducted every five years	Means of Verification

- Logocow of patrols, frequency	Joi sisseo na impar			
	and the same of the same		apprehended based upon systematic monitoring.	
Enforcement report	swetems" but it is a		At least 70% of reported violations were	PROCESS
Accomplishment reports	necessarily translate		Controlling access/resource use.	in the protected area?
Patrol and monitoring plan	violations does not	<u></u>	Protection systems are only nortially affective in	access/resource use
	Reporting of		monitoring and reporting of violations.	to control
implementation, list of stakeholders involved)	apprehension:		Score is also ult there is inadequate systematic	Are systems in place
Enforcement plan (presence/absence, status of	protection vs		2 ES 60	
ALOLY and timenent binu	Clarification on		or are not effective in controlling access/resource	systems
this we and financial of a		0	Protection systems (patrols, permits etc) do not exist	10. Protection
	Remarks			
Means of Verification	Explanation/	Score	Criteria	issue
	Justification/		The second section of the second seco	

Protection systems are largely or wholly effective in controlling access/ resource use. At least 70% of reported violations apprehended, and at least 70% of apprehensions administratively resolved or filed/resolved in court based upon systematic monitoring. Moreover, systematic monitoring indicates that violations are decreasing.	Protection systems are moderately effective in 2 assessing protection controlling access/resource use. At least 70% of reported violations apprehended, and at least 70% of apprehensions administratively resolved or filed/resolved in court based upon systematic monitoring.
Improved patrol, reduced violations, improved hiodiversity	Number of operations Number of apprehensions, reported confiscation, setzures Issuance of Special Orders (30s) == reported against blod/wersity status

	orientated survey and research work? Please attach results of studies	11. Research Is there a programme	enssi
There is considerable survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management.	There is a small amount of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management.	There is no survey or research work taiding place in the protected area.	Criteria
и	4	0	Score
	highest score, research should be properly integrated into the needs of protected area management		justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
Annual work and financial plan for research works within the PA Research that addresses Protective Area (PA) innnagement gaps	Research outputs conducted by the PA (solely or in partnership with other organizations) PAMB Rusolutions on approved research works within the PA	This could include research work carried out by the protected area itself but more usually covers research by associates, volunteers, students, citizen science recorders	Means of Vertification

Minutes of the PAMB meeting	_		
Accomplishment reports (monthly, quarterly, annually)		опроживация в нас	
Research made by academic institutions			and the second of the second o
Research agenda in Protected Area Management Enhancement (PAME)		W	There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work, which is relevant to management needs

	PROCESS	12. Resource management Is active resource management being undertaken?	Issue
Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed. Presence of a WFP and 50-70% of the requirements for resource management is implemented.	Very few of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented. Presence of a WFP and less than 50% of the requirements for resource management is implemented.	Active resource management is not being undertaken. No annual WPP.	Criteria
<i>t-</i> 3	pus.	0	Score
is need to review the PAMP and WFP first. For NIPAS sites, Resource Management is required by law. Without the PAMP/WFP, then there is no basis for	will be determined from a review of all incoming reports. To objectively assess resource management, there	Please provide adequate supporting documentation. Clear cut-offs for each level have not been determined and	Justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
each level and documentation for resource management Budget audit reports Accomplishment report Specific conservation plans for specific species and habitats Generic species and conservation plans	activities (plant nursery establishment reforestation), community organizing and education and awareness activities. PA Management Plan Annual work and financial plan with cut-offs for	Resource management covers many activities and would require a thorough review and justification. May include protection and enforcement budget and resources (patrolling, apprehensions, staffing requirements, equipment, budget for operations, etc.), protection of species and ecosystems (monitoring, research), restoration	Means of Verification

Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being substantially or fully implemented. Presence of a WFP and 1:00% of the requirements for resource management is implemented. 3 scoring. Thus, a low score. Recommend collection of related information.
26. 34

			INPUTS	people employed to manage the protected area?	13. Staff numbers	Issue
Staff numbers are adequate for the management needs of the protected area. All stuffing requirement in the PAMPs Organization Chart filled.	Staffing meets minimum requirements under the PAMP.	Staff numbers are below optimum level for critical management activities.	Staffing below minimum requirements under the PAMP.	Staff numbers are inadequate for critical management activities.	There is no staff. Only the PASu.	Criteria
	3	2		put.	0	Score
an organizational structure should be available based on the PAMP. Also state If PASU is concurrent PENRO/MENRO.	Pacus is on number of PA staff employed. Will also include the number of volunteers. Premise:	determined and will be determined from a review of all incoming reports.	tasks/roles and level of effort. Clear cut- offs for each level have not been	organizational structure including numbers of staff and voluntuers,	Please provide	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
Succession Plan based on Competency-Based System (CBS)	Individual Performance Commitment and Review (IPCR) Activity report	Number of PA Staff (permanent, contractuals, volunteers, and job orders) with roles, tasks and their LOEs vs interventions identified and PA stra	PA management plan Organizational structure			Means of Vertilcation

lssue	Criteria Score Staff lack the skills needed for protected area 0	
management objectives? INPUTS	Staff training and skills are low relative to the needs of the protected area. Technical staff (volunteers are not included in this requirement) can identify the specific features being conserved and can explain their benefits to key stakeholders.	1
	Staff training and skills are adequate, but could be further improved to fully achieve the objectives of management. Bach staff has at least a general education and one (1) training that specifically directly matches his/her primary role in PA management. Technical staff can identify the specific features being conserved and can explain their benefits to key stakeholders.	
	Staff training and skills are aligned with the management needs of the protected area. This should not be based upon perception but on actual capability compared to competency standards. That is, you may think you know but you don't. Or you may think you don't know enough, but you actually know enough.	

Work and financial plan Documentation of activities jointly implemented by DENR and LGU, and other local stakeholders	Capital expenditure is based from IPAF.	Junk	There is very little secure budget and the protected area could not function adequately without outside funding. Both DENR and LGU have each provided budget (in cash or in kind) over each of the last 2 years.	INPUTS
Budget expenditure report Budget report detailing DENR and LGU	To note that Expenditure is only based from MCOS.	0	15. Security of budget There is no secure budget for the protected area and management is wholly reliant on outside or highly is the budget secure?	16. Security of budget Is the budget secure?
Means of Verlification	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	Score	Criteria	issue

There is a secure budget for the protected area and its management needs. Both DENR and LGU have each provided budget (in cash or in kind) over each of the last 7 years. In addition, user fees have provided at least 30% of the budget in the last 5 years.	There is a reasonably secure core budget for regular operation of the protected area but many innovations and initiatives are reliant on outside funding Both DENR and LGU have each provided budget (in cash or in kind) over each of the last 5 years.
in 3	23 84
	0 0
	Lung-term MOAs, MOUs, partnership agreements (>10-years) Special Use Agreement in Protected Areas (SAPA)

	to meet critical management needs? PROCESS	17. Management of budget	issue
Budget management is adequate but could be improved. At least 70% of the planned annual budget was actually spent for the purpose it was intended.	Budget management is poor and constraints effectiveness. At least 60% of the planned annual budget was actually spent for the purpose it was intended.	Budget management is very poor and significantly undermines effectiveness (e.g. late release of budget in financial year).	Criteria
Λ3	1	ŋ	Score
	Expenditures only based from MOOE. Capital expenditures is based from IPAF.		justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
Accomplishment report Physical and financial accomplishment report Budget expenditure report	Annual budget expenditure reporting if the budget was spent for the purpose intended (overspends? underspends?) Annual budget audit reports Work and Pinancial Plan (WFP)		Means of Verification

The The stak prot reac	The that The stak stak prot	INPUT The inac The Stak enfo	19. Equipment The mar is equipment sufficient for management needs?	issue	Bu ma Ar
There are adequate equipment and facilities. There is capability to communicate among all key stakeholders and enforcers located in any point of the protected area within 1 hour. At least 2 enforcers can reach any point of the protected area within 8 hours. There is adequate equipment such that the safety of enforcers in arresting major violators is ensured.	There are equipment and facilities, but still some gaps that constrain management. There is capability to communicate among all key stakeholders and enforcers located in any point of the protected area within 1 hour. At least 2 enforcers can reach any point of the protected area within 8 hours.	There are some equipment and facilities but these are inadequate for most management needs. There is capability to communicate among all key stakeholders (PAMB Exc Com and PA staff) and enforcers located in any point of the protected area within 1 hour.	There are little or no equipment and facilities for management needs.	Criteria	Budget management is excellent and meets management needs. At least 80% of the planned annual budget was actually spent for the purpose it was intended.
ಒ	13	jud	9	Score	cu
	to enforce, patrol and respond. (Refer to #3, Law Enforcement)	Rationale: the means		justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	
Can be rated if PA has sufficient funds intended for the improvement of facilities and equipments that are used by PA staffs.	Checkpoints, Guard posts, offices, etc. Work and financial plan (e.g. fuel, data) Patrolling and blodiversity and threats monitoring reports Maintenance and recommendation report on equipment	facilities based on management needs Communication systems, vehicles, tools, uniforms (enforcement) Equipment related to scientific research (for monitoring key species based on the management plan	Inventory and checklist of equipment and	Means of Verincation	

issue	Critoria	Score	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks		Means of Verification
19. Maintenance of equipment	There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities.	0			Inventory/database of equipment with complete documentation (e.g. dute procured,
Is equipment	There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and	-	o de un redució de la composició de la c		condition/status of equipment).
adequately maintained?	facilities. Communication and transportation equipment have	-			WFP with budget allocated specifically for maintenance of equipment and facilities.
PROCESS	been maintained for at wast 3 years.			0	WFP with budget set-asids for replacing
	There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities.	12			rate.
	been maintained for at least 10 years.				Consider regular upgrades of equipment or updates of software/programs criteria is
	Equipment and facilities are well maintained.	u)			transportation) maintained for 5-10 years
	Communication and transportation equipment have	6		٠	Maintenance and recommendation report
	adequate financial resources are pro-actively being set			0	Regular reports on equipment status
	aside to replace equipment in line with their			•	Work and Financial Plan (WPF)
	depreciation rate.		As a second		Quality Management System (QMS)
					Maintenance schedule

Means of Verification	Justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	Score	Critaria	enssi
 Special Use Agreement in Protected Areas (SAPA) report 				
 Knowledge, Attitude and Perception (KAP) Survey 				
Pre- and post-evaluation				
 Activity documentation 				
Attendance sheets				
 IBC, CEPA or communication plans (MUST be linked to the PA objectives) 		ω	There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness programme.	
report/evaluate their progress against those targets.				
or not Considerations must be made if the IEC/CEPA plan has measurable targets and indicators (e.g., number of people reached, etc.), and whether PAs		N	There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets needs and could be improved.	PROCESS
Criteria is about presence/absence of edwartonal program or IEC, and whether it is being implemented			programme.	linked to the objectives
the PA, location of the PA, demographics of communities living within or adjacent to the PA		pok	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness	is there a planned
Consideration needs to be made on what is appropriate, depending on the conservation targets of		0	There is no education and awareness programme.	20. Education and awareness
Means of Verification	Expianation/ Remarks	Scale	Criteria	Issue
Selection of the property of the selection of the selecti	inchiconton (Contract Confessions	eder deutsche Georgegene geweine bedeutsche George gewein von der George	Personal water and secure and sec

21. Planning for adjacent land and

Adjacent land and water use planning does not take into account the needs of the protected area and activities/policies are detrimental to the survival of the

0

Need to have information on the availability of the

Updated and long term plans for CDP, CLUP, PLUP and ICM recognizing management zones and buffer zones of the protected area

These additional parameters go beyond the basic assessment and identify whether good practices are in place.
assessing consistency/inconsis tency with PA mgt and possible recommendation to improve not so ideal conditions
and when available, to get copies also. Such plans will provide basts for
relevant plans (e.g., CDP, CLUP, FLUP, ICM and Provincial Development

Water Quality Management Area (WQMA)	•			
Watershed Management Plans				
Marine-Protected Area Network (MPAN)				
Ancestral Domain Sustainable Development Protection Plan (ADSDPP)			particular species, fire management to maintain savannah habitats etc.)"	conservation
Water catchment, watershed, and river basins are defined within the Protected Area	•		the needs of particular species of concern at an ecosystem scale (e.g. particular species of concern at an ecosystem scale (e.g. particular species of concern at an ecosystem scale (e.g.	planning for ecosystem services
and ICM		+	"Planning addresses ecosystem-specific needs and/or	21c: Land and water

	PROCESS	is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users?	22. State and commercial	issue
There is regular contact between managers and neighboring official or corporate land and water users, and substantial co-operation on management. There are MOAs/agreements with at least 50% of LGUs and 2 of the top 5 corporate users and priority activities of the agreements are being implemented.	There is contact between managers and neighboring official or corporate land and water users, but only some co-operation. There are MOAs/agreements with at least 20% of LGUs and 1 of the top 5 corporate users.	There is contact between managers and neighboring official or corporate land and water users but little or no cooperation.	There is no contact between managers and neighboring official or corporate land and water users.	Criteria
t.s	23	pus.	0	Score
courism operators are the subject of their own question.	could include water suppliers, hydroelectric plants, ranchers, forestry activities or similar.	commercial interests surrounding, impacting on and/or benefiting from the	is there co-operation with government and	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
ranchers, forestry activities or similar,	 Signed MOAs/agreements for co-operation with adjacent land and water use PAMB Resolutions on co-operation with government and commercial interests (water suppliers, hydroelectric plants, solar, 			Moans of Verification

		>	The state of the s	Continue of the second
Means of Vertification	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	Score	Criteria	Issue
involvement of indigenous people towards activities inclined with PA management.	involves	(4)	Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management, e.g. co-management indigenous and traditional peoples are in the area and are represented in the PAMB, actually participate in the meetings and lead some field activities.	
Ancestral Domain Sustainable Development Protection Plan (ADSDPP) Coordination and complementation committee of PAMB for IPs Can be verified by checking on the participation and		23	Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management but their involvement could be improved. Indigenous and traditional peoples are in the area and are represented in the PAMB and actually participate in the meetings and in field activities	
Attendance Sheet Minutes of the meeting and interventions Protected Area Management Board (PÁMB) appointment of Indigenous Peoples (IPs) Communication letters to Indigenous Peoples (IPs)	For areas with with no IPs - Not	₽	Indigenous and traditional peoples have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management. Indigenous and traditional peoples are in the area and are represented in the PAMB but do not actually participate in the meetings	using the protected area have input to management decisions? PROCESS
		0	indigenous and traditional peoples have no input into decisions relating to the management of the protected area. Indigenous and traditional peoples are in the area but are not represented in the PAMB.	23. Indigenous people Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly
Means of Verification	Justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	Score	Critoria	Issue

24. Local communities

Local communities have no input into decisions relating to the management of the protected area.

Local communities are not represented in the PAMB

0

Local communities refers to barrangays which are

represented by the

Minutes of the meetings Attendance sheets

Do local communities

Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP)				
Coastal Resource Management Project (CRMP) of tenured migrants, plans, and reports				
Protection Plan (ADSDPP) Minutes of the Meeting		<u>+</u>	Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area.	24c. Impact on communities
Memorandum of Agreement Ancestral Domain Sustainable Development			implemented.	communities
providing political support amongst local government		+	Programmes to enhance community welfare, while	24b. Impact on
 Documentation on activities where community partners helped with surveys, 				
Communication plan Attendance sheet		+1	There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area managers.	24a impact on communities
Evidence is needed if this additional score is given			Additional points Local communities/Indigenous peoples	iditional points Loc
			Local communities are represented in the PAMB, actually participate in the meetings and lead some field activities.	
		ω	Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management, e.g. co-	
Can be verifled by checking on the participation and involvement of local community residents towards activities inclined with PA management.		nere de la constanta de la con	involvement could be improved. Local communities are represented in the PAMB and actually participate in the meetings and in field activities.	
(FA) treat the area	***************************************	~	Local communities directly contribute to some	
Barangay or Local Government Unit (LGU) resolution in support of the Protected Area			do not actually participate is the meetings.	PROCESS:
 Protected Area Management Board (PAMB) Minutes of the Meeting 			-	protected area have input to management
 Documentation of Intervention and activities 	Brgy Captain	pub	Local communities have some input into discussions	resident or near the

	survey	 Protected Area Community-Based Resource 	Management Agreement (PACBRMA)	implementation report	 Memorandum of Agreement [MoA] between 	Indigenous Peoples (IPs) and Protected Area	(PA) manager	Socio-economic Assessment and Monitoring	System (SEAMS) report	Annual work and financial plan	 Biodiversity-friendly Enterprise (BDFE) 	Community Based Systainable Tourism	(CBST) reports	Can he verified by checking on the participation and	involvement of local community residents towards	activities inclined with PA management.	
annutationals and addition to age from the																	
											-						ŧ
endendeden ender den ender den ender		entino di	and constraints		Maria	Abbasis)							
									Comment								

		CALIFORNIA OF THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	CO-CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACT	naminence to constituto proposation and phase proposation and particular enhant the contract and the suppositive and
issue	Criteria	Score	justification/ Explanation/ Remarks	Means of Verification
25. Economic benefit (Ecosystem Services) Is the protected area	25. Ecostomic benefit The protected area does not deliver any economic (Ecosystem Services) benefits (ecosystem services) to local communities. Is the protected area	0	This question is aimed explicitly at local communities.	This question is Identification of whether a Protected Area is engaging simed explicitly into livelihood activities towards the Local Government on local Units as well as the communities which may include communities.
providing economic benefits (ecosystem services) to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for	Potential economic benefits (ecosystem services) are recognised and plans to realise these have been developed.	н	Benefits can include direct jobs, Payment for Ecosystem Service schemes, Indirect	Payments for Ecosystem Services Annual income generation of CBST (Community-based tourism management), and other local-based groups for income and

financial assistance from BDPE				
inventories of beneficiaries of technical and			the protected area management).	
System (SEAMS) monitoring	_		benefits. (This should not include direct employment by	
Socio-economic Assessment and Monitoring			At least 10% of households are receiving economic	
increase of household income based on			protected area	
Ecotourism impact monitoring report		ω	communities from activities associated with the	
Blodiversity-friendly enterprise (BDFE)			There is a major flow of something themselve to local	
reports	such as guiding.			
Managoment Agreement (PACERMA)	other options			
Protected Area Community-Based Resource	to vicitors and			OUTCOMES
SEAMS report	increased		services) to local communicies.	services/
employment	benefits from	N	There is some flow of economic benefits (ecusystem	environmental

•
•
•
protected area
values, and the
on the condition
which impact
accivities of the
management
9
evaluation of hoth
This addresses monitoring and
Explanation/ Remarks
fustification/

Issue	Critoria	Score	Explanation/ Explanation/ Remarks		Means of Verification
27. Visitor facilities	There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need.	0		Validator mus PAs need visit	Validator must take into consideration that not all PAs need visitor facilities. This indicator should be
Are visitor facilities				scored based	scored based on the meeds and targets of the PA
adequate?				For PAs with i	As with intention to have tourists/visitors:
OUTPUTS	Visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for			• Tour	Tourtsm plan
	current levels of visitation. Access trails collected and chaitens are inadequate	-		• Carr	Carrying capacity, evidence that facilities
	Access trails, tollet(s) and shelters are inadequate.			mapo chec	(access traffs with signs, tollet, shelter, maps, communication systems, security and checkpoints) meet the needs of number of peak level violators
	Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved.	N		Emerge in place	Emergency response team and mechanism in place
	Access trails, tolled(s) and shelters are adequate to meet the needs of 80% of the peak level of visitors			For PAs with n	As with no intention to have tourists/visitors:
				Emer in pla	Emergency response team and nuchanism is place and working to score 3
	Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation. Across trails solibited shalters and a visitor century are	Ĺ		e It is a	It is noted not all Protected Areas (PAs) need visitor facilities due to the nature of terrain, location, etc.
	adequate to meet the needs of 100% of the peak level of visitors and there is an emergency response team and mechanism.			Checking on the whether visitor sufficient in the whether the fau maintained.	Checking on the visitor's center of PAs and identifying whether visitor facilities and services provided are sufficient in the area. Observing on the availability of whether the facilities provided for travelers are well maintained.

management? but this is largely confined to matters.	tribute rea	28. Commercial There is little or no contact between managers and tourism operators using the protected area.	issue Crit
There is contact between managers and tourism operators but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters.		e protected area.	Criteria
	pos	Φ	Score
naite ann ann an agus		OPEN POR	Justification/ Explanation/ Remarks
	•		
Commercial and tourism operators are represented during planning - attendance	Signed memorandum of agreements or memorandum of understanding between PA management and commercial and tourism operators (to score 2 points, at least 75% of operators present in the PA with signed agreements)	PAMB resolutions	Means of Verification